by Martin Lings

A MOSLEM SAINT OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY SHAIKH AHMAD AL-ALAWI

George Allen & Union Ltd., 1961

ANCIENT BELLIEFS AND MODERN SUPERSTITIONS

Perennial Books, 1965

Shakespeare in the Light of Sacred Art,

MARTIN LINGS

London
GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD
RUSKIN HOUSE MUSEUM STREET

FIRST PUBLISHED IN 1966

This book is copyright under the Berne Convention.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act 1956, no portion may be reproduced by any process without written permission. Enquires should be addressed to the publisher.

© George Allen & Unwin Ltd., 1966

BY NOVELLO AND COMPANY

LONDON

PRINTED IN GREAT KRITAIN in 10pt Baskerville type

The Earl of Gloster (blind)

The trick of that voice I do well remember.

Is't not the king?...O, let me kiss that hand.

King Lear (mad) Let me wipe it first; it smells of mortality. King Lear, IV, 6.

Shakespeare's greatness lies above all in the total impact that each of his best plays makes upon us when acted. But being a synthesis, this impact is not easily put into words; and once the curtain is down and we have left the theatre, what is said and written about the plays tends to be on a comparatively low level and bears little or no relation to the greatness of the whole, which it seems unable to account

This book begins and ends with the question of total impact. The intervening chapters are an attempt to do justice to Shakespeare by analysing ten of the maturer plays in view of the ultimate effect of each, concentrating on what is immediately obvious from the texts, letting the poet speak as far as possible for himself, and taking care not to attribute to him a XXth, XIXth, XVIIIth or even XVIIth century outlook. To say that he was born 400 years ago is an understatement. So extremely transitional was the century in which he was brought up and formed that it could almost be expanded into two as regards change of outlook; and Shakespeare, highly conservative in almost every respect, belongs despite his actual dates to the first of these two rather than the second, that is, to a prolongation of the XVIIth.

MARTIN LINGS London, 1966

CONTENTS

The Intellectuality of Sacred Art	Shakespeare's Outlook	Henry IV	Hamlet	Othello	Measure for Measure	Macbeth	King Lear	Antony and Cleopatra	Cymbeline	The Winter's Tale	The Tempest	Notes on Performance and Production	The Audience	Index	
H	II	III	ΛI	>	VI	VII	VIII	XI .	×	X	ХІІ	XIII	XIX		
					· · · -				I The Intellectuality of Sacred Art II Shakespeare's Outlook III Henry IV IV Hamlet V Othello VI Measure for Measure VII Macbeth VIII King Lear IX Antony and Cleopatra	I The Intellectuality of Sacred Art II Shakespeare's Outlook III Henry IV IV Hamlet V Othello VI Measure for Measure VII Macbeth VIII King Lear IX Antony and Cleopatra X Cymbeline	I The Intellectuality of Sacred Art II Shakespeare's Outlook III Henry IV IV Hamlet V Othello VI Measure for Measure VII Macbeth VIII King Lear IX Antony and Cleopatra X Cymbeline XI The Winter's Tale	I The Intellectuality of Sacred Art II Shakespeare's Outlook III Henry IV IV Hamlet V Othello VI Measure for Measure VII Macbeth VIII King Lear IX Antony and Cleopatra X Cymbeline XI The Winter's Tale XII The Tempest	,	,	,

The Intellectuality of Sacred Art

of interest in the Middle Ages, which is no doubt partly due to a reaction, but it is also, much more, a case of ignorance giving surface of something that has always been there and is always In the last few decades there has been a considerable increase way to knowledge. In another sense, it is simply a rising to the being rediscovered. Could it not be said that wherever the Middle Ages have not ceased to be accessible, wherever despite the barrier of the Renaissance they have always remained with us, as in the poetry of Dante, for instance, or-to take a more immediately their superiority has always been felt at heart? This feeling accessible and inescapable example—as in their architecture, implies also, if only subconsciously, the acknowledgement of a more general superiority, for it is quite impossible that the great Norman and Gothic cathedrals should have sprung from an age that had no inward excellence to correspond to these superlative outward manifestations.

One of the particular reasons for the present increase of interest in the Middle Ages is in itself highly significant: during the last fifty years Europeans have taken much more interest in the art of other civilizations than ever before, and this has no doubt uprooted many prejudices and opened the door to a certain freshness and objectivity of judgment. Having come to know some of the best examples of Hindu, Chinese and Japanese art and then as it were returning to their own civilization, many people find that their outlook has irrevocably changed. After looking at a great Chinese landscape, for example, where this world appears like a veil of illusion beyond which, almost visibly, lies the Infinite and Eternal Reality, or after having been given a glimpse of that same Reality through a statue of the Buddha, they find it difficult to take seriously a painting such as Raphael's famous Madonna, or Michelangelo's fresco of the Creation, not

THE INTELLECTUALITY OF SACRED ART

to speak of his sculpture, and Leonardo also fails to satisfy them. But they find that they can take very scriously, more seriously than before, some of the early Sienese paintings such as Lippo Memmi's Annunciation, for example, or the statuary and stained glass of Chartres Cathedral, or the XIIth and XIIIth century mosaics in St. Mark's at Venice, or the ikons of the Orthodox Church.

The reason why mediaeval art can bear comparison with Oriental art as no other Western art can is undoubtedly that the mediaeval outlook, like that of the Oriental civilizations, was intellectual. It considered this world above all as the shadow or symbol of the next, man as the shadow or symbol of God; and such an attitude, to be operative, presupposes the presence of intellectuals, for earthly things can only be referred back to their spiritual archetypes through the faculty of intellectual perception, the insight which pierces through the symbol to the universal reality that lies beyond. In the theoratic civilizations, if an artist himself was not an intellectual, he none the less obeyed the canons of art¹ which had been established on an intellectual basis.

A mediaeval portrait is above all a portrait of the Spirit shining from behind a human veil. In other words, it is as a window opening from the particular on to the universal, and while being enshrined in its own age and civilization as eminently typical of a particular period and place, it has at the same time, in virtue of this opening, something that is neither of the East nor of the West, nor of any one age more than another.

If Renaissance art lacks an opening on to the universal and is altogether imprisoned in its own epoch, this is because its outlook is humanistic; and humanism, which is a revolt of the reason against the intellect, considers man and other earthly objects entirely for their own sakes as if nothing lay behind them. In painting the Creation, for example, Michelangelo treats Adam not as a symbol but as an independent reality; and since

Sacred art in the full sense of the term is art which conforms to canons laid down not by individuals but by the spiritual authority of the civilization in question, as was the case with mediaeval Christian architecture, Oregorian chant, ancient Greek drama, Japanese No plays, Hindu temple dancing and music—to name only a few examples—and such art is always something of a criterion and also a potential source of inspiration for other less central works of are

he does not paint man in the image of God, the inevitable result is that he paints God in the image of man. There is more divinity underlying Simone Martini's painting of Saint Francis than there is in Michelangelo's representation of the Creator Himself

Renaissance art and mediaeval art: 'When standing in front of a Romanesque or Gothic cathedral, we feel that we are at the angelo's death, and the two are often spoken of in the same approach which enhances, if possible, our respect for Dante, but which greatly diminishes our estimate of several others whose pre-eminence had long gone unquestioned? The following but a general answer can be given innucdiately. Let us quote, as touchstone, a masterly summing up of the difference between centre of the world; when standing in front of a Renaissance, Baroque or Rococo church we are merely conscious of being in Europe.: Now without trying to give Shakespeare so essential a place in the art of Christendom as the place which is held by the mediaeval cathedrals or by The Divine Comedy, could it not be said that to be present at an adequate performance of King Shakespeare was born less than three months after Michelbreath as being among 'the greatest geniuses of the Renaissance'. Yet how does Shakespeare stand in the light of an intellectual chapters are an attempt to answer this question in some detail; Lear is not merely to watch a play but to witness, inysteriously, the whole history of mankind?

But this remark could not possibly be made about the majority of Shakespeare's writings, and if we wish to form any estimate of the mature dramatist whose outlook bestowed on him a universality that is a prolongation of the universality of the Middle Ages, the first thing to be done is to set most of the plays on one side for the moment so as not to confuse the issue. Few writers can have developed so much during their period of authorship as Shakespeare did. By the end of the XVIth century he had written some twenty-two plays; but none of these can be said to represent his maturity, though some of them,* in various ways, give an unmistakable foretaste of what was to come. Just after 1600 there was a sharp and lasting change, not in **Frithjof Schuon, The Transcendent Unity of Religions (Faber, 1953) p. 84,

Romeo and Juliet, for example, A Midsummer Night's Dream, Henry IV, As You Like It, and Twelfth Night.

4

orientation—that change had come before—but in intensity. It was as if he had suddenly come to grips with the universe after having contemplated it for some time with a half-detached serenity. From being in carnest, he had come to be in very deadly carnest. This change is forced on our attention first of all by Hamlet; and except for one or two backward glances, mostly in the direction of Romeo and Juliet and Henry IV, the scope of this book lies inclusively between Hamlet and Shakespeare's last complete play, The Tempest.

CHAPTER II

Shakespeare's Outlook

It is too often said that the marvellous variety of Shakespeare's characters makes it impossible to divine anything about the author himself. About his temperament this may be true to a certain extent, but as regards his outlook and ideals it is altogether false. We can learn much about him indirectly even from his villains, and from his heroes we can learn much more, especially towards the end of a play, after he has fully developed them.

But when the hero, in a manifest state of undevelopment, at the beginning or in the middle of a play, gives vent to his ideas about this and that, he is perhaps revealing his own immaturity and may well even be saying the very opposite of what Shakespeare himself thinks. A striking example of this is in King Lear when Gloster, who has an important part in the sub-plot, says, before Shakespeare has fully developed him:

As flies to wanton boys, are we to the Gods; They kill us for their sport. (IV, 1).

It is when Edgar hears these words that he decides to set upon his strange course of action for the purpose of saving his father from despair and suicide. Thanks to his efforts, Gloster is able to say eventually:

henceforth I'll bear
Affliction till it do cry out itself
Enough, enough', and die.

and later still:

You ever-gentle Gods, take my breath from me: Let not my worser spirit tempt me again To die before you please! (ibid.). Now the great weakness of Gloster which he eventually overcomes, is akin to one of the weaknesses of Hamlet which he also overcomes, and which is lack of faith in Providence. The To

about Shakespeare's own views, does not merely express the in a sense the Prince goes back in development after the beginning of the play before he begins to go forward. When this commit suicide if only God had not forbidden it, he now implies maturity of Hamlet but it shows him at his most immature, for particular soliloquy comes his faith is at its lowest ebb. Having be or not to be' soliloquy, from which so much has been deduced more or less said at the beginning of the play that he would that he would do so but for the dread of something after death.

It is always possible that Shakespeare may have drawn on his that it does not represent in any way his settled convictions own past experience for this soliloquy. But we can be certain because its whole tenor is completely contradicted in the last scene of the play by the fully developed, perfectly balanced Hamlet voicing the maturity which Shakespeare has gradually shaped and built up for him. In this scene we find that he has altogether overcome his doubts. His now full-grown royalty of nature causes Horatio to exclaim, half in admiration, half in surprise: 'IVhy, what a king is this!'; and his faith in Providence is unshakeable. He says to Horatio:

There's a divinity that shapes our ends, Rough-hew them how we will.

it is in prose. Hamlet's fencing match with Laertes is about to take place. Hamlet tells Horatio that he is confident of victory; yet at the same time he has a premonition that he is going to of the play, though it is seldom quoted, partly no doubt because die, and he intimates as much to Horatio, who begs to be allowed to postpone the match. But Hamlet will not allow this. This conversation leads up to what is perhaps the greatest speech He says:

providence in the fall of a sparrow. If it be now, 'tis not to come; if it be not to come, it will be now; if it be not now, yet it will come: the readiness is all. Since no man has aught of what he leaves, what is't to leave betimes? Not a whit, we defy augury: there's a special Let be. The gist of this speech, the readiness is all, is repeated almost word for word in an equally significant passage in the last act

SHAKESPEARE'S OUTLOOK

of King Lear. The news of the defeat and capture of King Lear and Cordelia plunges Gloster once more into despair. Edgar pulls him out of it by reminding him that just as a man has to submit to Providence as regards the time and manner of his birth, so also he must submit as regards the time and manner of his death and not seek to pluck the fruit before it is ripe.

Their going hence, even as their coming hither. Men must endure Ripeness is all.

most universal aspect of religion. He is concerned with man's him, and for Dante, just as for the ancient priests and priestesses Edgar, as also elsewhere, Shakespeare is concentrating on the having the right attitude of soul towards Providence rather than with any particular mode of worship. But this does not mean hat he himself was not a devout practising Christian. It simply means that in the extreme religious soreness and sensitivity of sixteenth and seventeenth century England, Christianity was a very dangerous topic. Before the end of his period of authorship it was even forbidden by law to mention the name of God on the stage. But one could always refer to 'the gods'; and if he deliberately chose to set many of his maturer plays in a pre-Christian setting, it is to be noticed none the less that his attitude to Greece and Rome is not typical of the Renaissance. He does not merely borrow the surface of classical antiquity. He places himself at the very centre of the ancient world. For at Delphi, Apollo is not the god of light but the Light of God. It will be noticed that in these two speeches of Hamlet and

mediaeval than anything Shakespeare wrote. But in outlook In the form of his drama Shakespeare belongs to his age. Marlowe's Dr. Faustus is outwardly in some respects more Marlowe was altogether a man of the Renaissance, as were Ben lonson and Webster, whereas Shakespeare seems in a sense to go back as time goes forward and by the turn of the century he had become, unlike any of his fellow dramatists, the continuer and the summer-up of the past, the last outpost of a quickly vanishing age. To say this is not really to say anything new; it is rather a case of putting two and two together. Bradley says of King Lear: 'It does not appear to disclose a mode of imagination so very far removed from the mode with which we must

remember that Shakespeare was perfectly familiar in the Morality plays and in The Faerie Queene.' Of Othello Wilson Knight says: Othello, Desdemona and lago are Man, the Divine and the Devil', and he remarks in general that Shakespeare's heroes are purgatorial pilgrims'. Of Macbeth Dover Wilson says: 'Macbeth' is almost a morality play', and he says much the same of the with regard to Shakespeare as a continuer of past tradition, he reminds us: 'Before its final secularization in the first half of the XVIth century, our drama was concerned with one topic be represented in either of two ways: (i) historically, by means before the spectators' eyes the whole scheme of salvation from two parts of Henry IV. Moreover, in this last connection, and and one topic only: human salvation. It was a topic that could of miracle plays which in the Corpus Christi cycles unrolled the Creation to the Last Judgment; or (ii) allegorically, by means with the snares of the World or the wiles of the Evil One." Dover Wilson does not define the word 'salvation' and for the purpose of his book, it is not necessary to do so. But as regards of morality plays, which exhibited the process of salvation in the individual soul on its road between birth and death, beset mediaeval art in general, it is important to distinguish between what may be called esoteric works, which look beyond salvation to sanctification, and exoteric works, in which sanctification is at best no more than a remote ideal. If Shakespeare is a continuer of the past, which of these two categories does his art belong to, the exoteric or the esoteric?

is represented as having led a very questionable life, and he is saved from Hell in the face of justice by operation of the Divine Comedy which presupposes salvation and deals with man's purification and his ultimate sanctification or in other words An example of what may be called an exoteric work which Perseverance. In this morality play mankind (humanum genus) Mercy. A supreme example of an esoteric work is The Divine his regaining of what was lost at the Fall. It may be said that n the Middle Ages the mass of the laity was considered as following the path of salvation, whereas the monastic orders, and the lay orders attached to them, and one or two other stops short at salvation in the lowest sense is The Castle of protherhoods such as those of the Freemasons and the Com-The Fortunes of Falstaff, Cambridge University Press, 1964, p. 17.

is now known that Dante belonged to a brotherhood which was ess driven underground when the Order of the Temple was abolished. Some have supposed that Shakespeare was a member probably never be known, and in any case it is not within the scope of these pages to dwell on anything that is not obvious from what he wrote. What is obvious, however, is that his plays and it may be remarked in passing that this does suggest that their author was following a spiritual path, which itself implies words they aimed at passing through Purgatory in this life. It affliated to the Order of the Temple,1 and which was more or of the brotherhood of the Rosie Crosse; others believe him to have been a Freemason. This is a part of his secret which will vanions aimed at following the path of sanctification. In other far transcend the idea of salvation in its more limited sense; attachment to an order.

At the beginning of Act V of The Winter's Tale, with reference to the long penance done by King Leontes during the sixteen years which elapse between the two parts of the play, the Which you have not redeem'd; indeed, paid down More penitence than done trespass. At the last, Sir, you have done enough, and have perform'd A saint-like sorrow: no fault could you make priestlike Cleomenes says:

Do as the heavens have done, forget your evil; With them forgive yourself. In King Lear the blind Gloster, recognizing the King's voice, asks to kiss his hand. Lear replies:

Let me wipe it first; it smells of mortality.

tality, that is, the sin of Adam, from the hand of the hero? The less. In Hamlet the prince says of himself in the middle of the This remark contains not only the very essence of the play but course of them what does Shakespeare do but wipe away moralso of most of Shakespeare's other maturer plays; for in the hand must be altogether clean: there is no question of more or olay that he is fairly virtuous:

I am myself indifferent honest;

but Shakespeare's purpose goes far beyond such mediocrity. The 1 See René Guénon, L'Esotérisme de Dante (Gallimard, 1957, p. 11).

by him at the beginning of the play; so could Leontes at the moment of repentance, sixteen years before the speech just is by definition of unfathomable mercy. Hamlet could have passed quoted; and so could Lear long before the end of the play. But the porter to the Gate of Paradise, that is, the gate to sanctificaporter to the Gate of Purgatory, that is, the gate to salvation, tion, is relentlessly exacting; and for his heroes and heroines, Shakespeare stands as that porter. He will let nothing pass except perfection; and so he makes Hamlet add to the above quoted

that it were better my mother had not born me. but yet I could accuse me of such things

Character after character is developed to a state of virtue which is pushed, one feels, to the very limits of human nature, until each could say, with Cleopatra:

Give me my robe; put on my crown; I have Immortal longings in me. Even those who refuse to admit that Shakespeare himself speaks through any of his characters cannot escape from the fact that it is Shakespeare himself, and no one else, who is the architect of detail), hammering home the same message, we have no alternative play after play follows the same quest for human perfection, each play in its totality (over and above the marvellous variety of at any rate for the last fifteen years of his life or more, by the his plays. And when, after a certain maturity has been reached, but to conclude that Shakespeare was altogether preoccupied, same questions which preoccupied Dante.

CHAPTER III

Henry IV

which dominates it is none the less already to be found in several of his earlier plays. Particularly striking in this respect is Henry IV which, in its two parts, must have been written within three IF Hamlet is Shakespeare's first really great play, the outlook or four years before Hamlet, probably between 1597 and 1599.

Dover Wilson says: 'Henry IV was certainly intended to convey a moral. It is, in fact, Shakespeare's great morality play."

Shakespeare plays no tricks with his audience.... Prince Hal is it is to be admired and commended. Moreover the story of the prodigal, secularized and modernized as it might be, ran the the prodigal, and his repentance is not only to be taken seriously, same course as ever and contained the same three principal characters: the tempter, the younker, and the father with property to bequeath and counsel to give." This is altogether convincing, but it leaves unanswered the question as to whether the play is exoteric or esoteric. Or is t not in fact both? The idea of different meanings existing to us, was altogether familiar to men of letters throughout the imultaneously at different levels, however strange it may seem Middle Ages and even later-witness Spenser's Faerie Queene.

According to Dante, 'writings are to be understood and should be expounded chiefly according to four meanings" or in other words the literal meaning should be considered as a veil over three others, which he specifies as 'allegorical, moral and anagogical. The same principle is to be found also in other arts: the idea that a true work of architecture should have at least three meanings was certainly familiar to Freemasons as ate as the XVIth century. A cathedral, in addition to its literal

¹ Ibid, p. 14. 2 Ibid, p. 22. 2 Il Comivio, II, cap. 1.

of the whole universe, and by analogy, as an image of the human being, both body and soul. The symbolism of a building as an image of the human soul, the inner world of man, corresponds meaning as a place of worship was planned as a symbolic image to the fourth and highest meaning mentioned by Dante, the one which he calls 'anagogical', and which he illustrates by interpreting the Exodus of the Jews from Egypt to the Promised the exodus of the soul from the state of original sin to the state cluding Shakespeare's Henry IV, even without the author's Land to mean, in addition to its literal or historical meaning, of sanctification. Now this is also the highest or deepest meaning of the story of the return of the Prodigal Son, and it could be said to underlie all faithfully told stories of the prodigal, inintention. But Shakespeare's intention is undoubtedly there; we do not need to examine his text over carefully to see that he conceived the newly crowned King Henry V's rejection of Falstaff as representing more than salvation in the ordinary limited sense of the word; for him it is clearly no less than the equivalent of Queen; and this victory, whatever else it may mean, clearly the Red Crosse Knight's victory over the dragon in The Faerie signifies above all the soul's final purification, its final complete triumph over the devil.

We must be grateful to Dover Wilson for his timely reminder since the French Revolution we have been living in the world of Rousseau; and this fact lays many traps of misunderstanding Shakespeare's morality play is the growing up of a madcap no man who was less than a saint could possibly pass as 'the that 'Shakespeare lived in the world of Plato and St. Augustine; for unsuspecting readers." He also says: The main theme of prince into the ideal king." Putting two and two together, it must be remembered that in the world of Plato and St. Augustine

No limit can be set to the extent of Prince Hal's reform. His of mediocrities and relativities in which epic is stifled beyond world is very remote indeed from the world we live in, the world breathing point, while the psychological novel thrives and grows

iat. There is an unmistakeable ring of the absolute about the last scenes of Henry IV which makes it dissicult, from any point of view, to attribute to the new king anything that falls short of perfection. None the less this play can be said to have two speare these two meanings are not altogether distinct, for the meanings in relation to the human soul, one exoteric and moral, and the other esoteric and mystical; but as elsewhere in Shakelower meaning as it were opens on to the higher. Henry IV can be considered as a morality play in which the final perfection remains far above the spectators' heads, although it serves as a shrine of orientation for their ideals; and it can be considered as an esoteric or mystical drama the purpose of which is to draw the spectator into the mesh of the plot, into the very person of the hero.

The meaning of Henry IV as a morality play is its literal meaning and needs no comment. As to its deeper meaning, one of the principal keys which the text offers us is the son's identification of himself with his dead father. A strange 'alchemy' has taken place by which the spirit of the old king is reborn in the person of the new king whose former faults-affections or wildness as he calls them-have died and lie buried with the old king.

And sadly with his spirit I survive. (Pt. 2, V, 2). My father is gone wild into his grave, For in his tomb lie my affections,

The young king also uses the image of the corrupt tide of vanity royal tide may flow in. Not far below the surface here, as elseflowing out into the waters of the ocean so that a new and truly where in Shakespeare's plays, lie the words of the Gospel 'Except a man be born again he cannot see the Kingdom of God!.

because in order to have a full understanding of Henry IV it is The heir's identification of himself with his father is important necessary to understand that 'Everyman' or the human soul is alone, but also, above all, by a synthesis of the Prince and the and must die before a new soul can be born, the soul is personified by the King; and the symbolism is strengthened by the fact represented not merely by the Prince alone and by the King King. In its static aspect, as a fallen soul that 'smells of mortality' that the King is a usurper to the throne, just as fallen man is a

^{*} For details of these correspondences see Titus Burckhardt, Principes et Methodes de l'Art Sacré, p. 70 (Derain, Lyons, 1958).

usurper to the throne of earth which belongs by rights only to man in his original state, man created in the image of God. On the other hand, in its dynamic aspect, inasmuch as it is capable of being purified, and inasmuch as the foundations of the new soul are being laid there, the soul is personified by the Prince who, at any rate according to the logic of the play, will not be a usurper when he becomes King. It is not only the faults of the Prince which die with his father's death but also the stigma of a crown that had been usurped. The dying King says of his own wrongful seizure of the throne:

And grant it may with thee in true peace live. (IV, 5) How I came by the crown, O God forgive, All the soil of this achievement goes With me into the earth ...

brought into a state of peace. The two plots of the play, the bringing to order of the Prince and the bringing to order of the The substance of the soul of 'Everyman' is also represented by England which is in a state of discord and which is gradually country run parallel to each other and have the same significance. Civil war is a most adequate symbol of the fallen soul which is by definition at war with itself; and the meaning of this particular internal strife in England is heightened by the King's intention to convert its energies, as soon as possible, into a holy war. The whole play is in fact consecrated by beginning and ending as it were in the shadow of the Holy Land. At the beginning of part I the King announces his intention of leading a crusade to erusalem; and towards the end of Part II he reaffirms this intention, announcing that all preparations have been made to set out for Palestine as soon as the rebels at home have been defeated:

And everything lies level to our wish. (IV, 4). And draw no swords but what are sanctified. Now, Lords, if God doth give successful end Our navy is address'd, our power collected, We will our youth lead on to higher fields To this debate that bleedeth at our doors Our substitutes in absence well invested,

The rebels have in fact already been defeated, but the news

nas not yet reached him. Symbolically connected with this is another 'already' which, though it dawns on him later, he has also not yet grasped: he is already in 'Jerusalem'-the Jerusalem Chamber of the Palace of Westminster where this scene takes place; and here, shortly after his just quoted speech, when news comes that the civil war is at an end, he suddenly sinks down in mortal sickness. For the moment the play's deeper meaning wells only connection between the good news and the King's illness is a spiritual one: the end of the civil war means that the pilgrim's to the surface as it were and obliterates the other meanings. The ourney is at an end, that the old soul is now ripe for death so dying and not yet dead, this is simply because the return of his that the new soul may be born. If the King is no more than prodigal son has not yet been fulfilled. Once this has taken place the King asks to be carried back into the Jerusalem Chamber, in order that he may die in Jerusalem.

The Jerusalem Chamber has also its meaning for the Prince. We may remember that in The Faerie Queene the Red Crosse Knight is only able to overcome the dragon because the fight takes place at the threshold of the Earthly Paradise, within reach of the Waters of Life and the Tree of Life.7 Now Jerusalem is symbolically equivalent to the Earthly Paradise; and the Prince's real victory over himself, when he speaks of

The noble change that I have purpos'd

of the Jerusalem Chamber, before his final meeting with Falstaff. This symbolism is strengthened by another; for if any particular moment can be assigned to the Prince's victory, it is at his foretaste of royalty when, believing himself to be by rights already takes place as he stands by his dying father's bed at the threshold king, he places the crown on his own head.

The last scenes of Henry IV pt. 2, make an undeniably strong spiritual impact. But neither part of Henry IV, when taken as a whole, has anything approaching the closely knit intensity of ¹ Spenser died in 1599, about the time that Shakespeare was writing this play. The Faerie Queene, which death prevented him from finishing, is mentioned here and elsewhere as an example of symbolism parallel to It would perhaps not be unjust to say that compared with The Divine Comedy and the best of Shakespeare, The Faerie Queene is like a plane Shakespeare's at the end of the XVIth century, without any suggestion that Spenser had a profound understanding of the symbolism that he was using. surface as compared with a form of three dimensions.

a play like Hamlet. In particular we cannot help noticing that there is no real conflict: like the killing of the dragon, the rejection of Falstaff symbolizes the most difficult thing in the world, and yet the Prince has not had, as far as we can see, the slightest difficulty in rejecting him. Secondly-and this weakness is connected with the first-Shakespeare makes the rejection of Falstaff very dramatic, but he has not previously brought home to us dramatically Falstaff's utter villainy. The villainy is there in the text, but we only discover it by analysis; the plot of the play sense of disproportion which leaves us with a vague feeling of some of Shakespeare's later plays to his experience in writing this. does not depend on it at all, so that at the end we have a certain injustice. But it may well be that we partly owe the excellence of Perhaps when conceiving the part of lago he said to himself, thinking of Falstaff: 'This time there shall be no mistakel'; and perhaps when he set Hamlet to kill the dragon he said to himself: 'This time it shall not be easy!'

CHAPTER IV

Hamlet

THE basic theme of Hamlet is summed up in the Prince's own words:

Virtue cannot so inoculate our old stock but we shall relish of it. (111, 1).

This means: 'It is no use plastering one or two superficial virtues over our old stock, that is, the original sin which permeates our nature, since in spite of all such virtues, we shall still continue to reek of the old stock.' But in order to express fully what is in Hamlet's mind here we must add: 'There is only one thing which can effectively wipe out the stench of our old stock and that is revenge, or in other words a complete reversal of the state of affairs which caused the Fall'.

In its inmediate impact upon us sacred art¹ is like a stone thrown into water. The ever widening ripples illustrate the limitless repercussions that are made, or can be made, upon the soul by this impact, fraught as it is with several meanings at different levels. One meaning can, as we have seen, open out on to another deeper meaning² that lies beyond it. In this way sacred art often conveys far more than it appears to convey, far more sometimes even than the mind in question is conscious of or could take in by way of ordinary didactic teaching.

Needless to say, the initial impact itself must captivate the mind and the emotions. According to the literal meaning of Hamlet, our sense of Queen Gertrude's culpability goes far beyond the sin of marriage to a dead husband's brother, just as we are given many strong and obvious reasons why Hamlet should kill Claudius, enough at any rate even to make us forget for the

Shakespeare's plays cannot be considered as sacred art in the full and central sense of the term, but they can be considered as an extension of it, and as partaking both of its qualities and its function.

Needless to say, not every detail in this text has a deeper meaning. Conversely, there are some details which only make good sense on the deepest plane of all.

moment that revenge is unchristian. None the less, it would be true to say that there is no common measure between the literal meaning of this play and the deep sense of urgency that Shakespeare instils into us. There is something mysteriously unfathomable about the Queen's guilt. Moreover, so long as we are in the theatre we are not far from feeling that revenge is the most important thing in the world; and we are right, for there is nothing more important, and indeed nothing more Christian, than what revenge stands for here.

The Ghost's revelation to Hamlet is, as regards its symbolic meaning, like a puzzle with a few missing pieces which it is not difficult for us to supply in the light of those pieces which we are given—the garden with its fruit trees, the serpent, the guilty woman. The Genesis narrative is undoubtedly here. There is also, explicitly, the first-fruit of the Fall, the sin of fratricide. But the Fall itself was in fact a murder also, the slaying or making mortal of Adam by the serpent, and the forbidden fruit was the 'poison' through which that murder was effected.

The Queen is not merely Hamlet's mother; she is his whole ancestral line going back to Eve herself; and inasmuch as she is Eve, she represents, in general, the fallen human soul, especially in its passive aspect. In other words, she represents that passivity which in man's primordial state was turned towards Heaven and which after it lost contact with the Spirit has come more or less under the sway of the devil or, in the words of the play, having sated itself in a celestial bed has come to prey on garbage. Like its conscience and its intelligence. The attitude of the son towards the father and son in Henry IV, mother and son here can each his mother, which many people consider to be something of an be taken separately as representing 'Everyman', but above all they are to be taken together as constituting fallen human soul, Hamlet himself being the personification of its active aspectenigma and which has prompted more than one grotesque ex-Planation, is amply explained if we consider that allegorically mother and son are one person, different faculties of one and the same soul.

Unlike the writer of epic, the dramatist has a very limited space at his disposal. Consequently he often chooses to build a house of more than one storey. In Hamlet the soul is not only represented by the Prince and his mother; its state is also reflected

in the condition of the country. Not that there is actually a sub-plot of civil war as in Henry IV, but none the less

Something is rollen in the state of Denmark
The time is out of joint and needs to be an eight. Mo

and The time is out of joint and needs to be set right. Moreover, as a parallel to the whole action of the play, the soul of King Hamlet is being purified in Purgatory.

But the dead King has also another aspect. Just as Adam was not only the man who fell but also the most perfect of all creatures, made in the image of God, so also King Hamlet, who in a sense corresponds to Adam, is not only a purgatorial pilgrim but also a symbol of man's lost Edenic state. It is in virtue of this that he refers to his own marriage with Gertrude as a celestial bed, and is spoken of by Hamlet in terms of human perfection:

A combination and a form indeed Where every god did seem to set his seal To give the world assurance of a man. (111, 4).

It is also in virtue of this aspect that he acts as spiritual guide to his son.

The difference between simple piety and mysticism might objectively, whether he takes it literally or allegorically. The almost be summed up by saying that the averagely pious man ooks at the story of the Garden of Eden for the most part mystic, on the other hand, looks at it subjectively as something which intensely, directly and presently concerns himself. Again, but in fact, if not in theory, he imagines him to be more or less harmless and has little idea of the extent of his own subscrvience to him. In general he is extremely subject to the illusion of The Ghost initiates Hamlet into the Mysteries by conveying to him the truth of the Fall not as a remote historical fact but as not allow his soul a moment's rest; and every man in fact is in the averagely pious man is aware of the existence of the devil, neutrality. But the mystic knows that most of what seems neutral is harmful, and that one may smile and smile and be a villain. an immediate life-permeating reality, an acute pain which will exactly the same situation as the Prince of Denmark, did he but know it, that is, if he were not

Duller . . . than the fat weed

That roots itself at ease on Lethe wharf. (1,

'Latterly you have been feeling that all is not well. I come to consirm your worst suspicions and to show you the remedy. Since man has been robbed by the devil of his birthright, there is only one way for him to regain what is lost and that is by taking What the Ghost says to Hamlet could almost be paraphrased: revenge upon the robber.

With all the ardour of the novice, in answer to his father's last injunction Remember me! the Prince replies:

All saws of books, all forms, all pressures past, And thy commandment all alone shall live That youth and observation copied there; Wilhin the book and volume of my brain, I'll wipe away all trivial fond records, Remember thee? Yea, from the table of my memory Unmix'd with baser matter. Spiritual wisdom, from a wordly point of view, is a kind of contexts, as a symbol of spiritual wisdom. Shakespeare avails madness; and so madness can be made to serve, in certain himself of this possibility more than once in his plays; and in Hamlet, in addition to its more outward meaning as a stratagem and a blind, the antic disposition which the Prince puts on serves above all to underline the drastic change that has taken place in his life. In his soliloquies he shows no trace of madness; but as soon as he has to face the world, that is, when Horatio and Marcellus enter, shortly after the exit of the Ghost, the new found spiritual outlook which fills his soul almost to bursting point has to find an outlet in what Horatio describes as wild Shakespeare momentarily allows the deeper meaning of the play and whirling words. It is under cover of this 'wildness' that to come to the surface, for what Hamlet says is:

You, as your business and desire shall point you; I hold it fit that we shake hands and part; And so without more circumstance at all, Such as it is; and, for mine own poor part, For everyman hath business and desire, Look you, I'll go pray.

And prayer, which in the widest sense of the word may be said to comprise all forms of worship, is in fact man's chief werpon of revenge.

Prince is called upon to face. But he soon takes them both half The world, not only in its incomprehension, but also in its It is not however Horatio and Marcellus who represent the world in Hamlet. They do so in this scene only incidentally, because they are the first living creatures that the newly initiated into his confidence, and later he confides everything to Horatio. allurements, everything in 'ordinary life' which it is difficult to give up but which the man who has taken his vows must break with altogether and leave behind him is summed up in the person of Ophelia. Hamlet's subsequent visit to her, which she describes to her father, would seem to be prompted by the vain hope that it may not be necessary to turn his back on the world altogether, or that it may be possible as it were to take the world with him. But when he looks into her face he sees that he must go his way alone; she would be quite incapable of sharing his secret; and so he leaves her without saying a word.

to rise to the surface under cover of Hamlet's 'madness'. The first part of the spiritual path is 'the descent into Hell'. The Shakespeare once more allows the deeper meaning of the play deeper meaning of Dante's Inferno' is the descent of Dante into the hidden depths of his own soul. The novice has first to learn the meaning of 'original sin'; he must come to know the evil possibilities which lie, almost unsuspected, beneath the surface In the 'nunnery scene', where we first see them together, illusion of being indifferent honest. The gist of all that Hamlet tays to Ophelia in this scene is in the following speech:

The already quoted line:

which brings the deeper meaning of King Lear to the surface, is spoken by Lear when he is mad. The fact that Hamlet's madness is feigned whereas Lear's is not makes no difference to its symbolism. Another kind of 'madness' which has the same significance is the 'folly' of the professional fool. Let me wipe it (my hand) first; it smells of mortality

Divine Comedy can none the less help to throw light on certain aspects of these plays because it is based on principles with which no intellectual of Shakespeare's time could fail to be familiar. The references here and elsewhere to Dante do not mean to suggest that Shakespeare owes anything to him directly. Of this we know nothing. The

Get thee to a numery; why wouldst thou be a breeder of sinners? I am myself indifferent honest; but yet I could accuse me of such things that it were better my mother had not borne me. I am very proud, revengeful, ambitious; with more offences at my beck than I have thoughts to put them in, imagination to give them shape, or time to act them in. What should such fellows as I do, crawling between heaven and earth? We are arrant knaves all; believe none of us. Go thy ways to a numery.

Elsewhere 'the descent into Hell', that is, the discovery of sinful propensities in the soul which were hitherto unknown, takes the form of actually committing the sins in question, as happens, for example, with Angelo in Measure for Measure and with Leontes in The Winter's Tale. The same may be said also of Macbeth, but his 'descent' as we shall see, is of a different kind.

Despite Hamlet's antic disposition, all that he says to Ophelia in the 'numery scene' makes profound sense. But 'the world' is quite uncomprehending; for Ophelia it is all nothing more than

Sweet bells jangled, out of tune and harsh.

In The Divine Comedy the discovery of the soul's worst possibilities and purification from them are treated separately. The Inferno and the Purgatorio correspond to an altogether exhaustive. Confession followed by a full Absolution. The 'architecture' of Dante's poem demands this separate treatment, as also the fact that it has an eschatological as well as a mystical meaning. Occasionally, as we shall see, Shakespeare also treats the two phases separately, but more often, as in Hamlet, he represents them as taking place simultaneously. The killing of Claudius will mean reaching not only the bottom of Hell but also the tere of the Mountain of Purgatory, for revenge means purification.

When Hamlet, on his way to speak with his mother, suddenly comes upon Claudius praying and is about to kill him, he refrains from doing so on the grounds that to kill him while at prayer would amount to sending him to heaven which would be hire and salary, not revenge. According to the more outward

Prince's failure to kill Claudius at this juncture springs from neaning, that is, according to Hamlet as a morality play, the the inability to take decisive action, the readiness to snatch at sends Rosencrantz and Guildenstern to a sudden death, no shriving time allowed, without even knowing whether they are are not. We can accept the normal idea of revenge without too much difficulty, even in a morality play, for revenge is or can be a name for justice. But what sin can compare with the implacable any pretext for procrastination. At this level a more or less blind eye has to be turned to the actual pretext given. None the less, it is difficult to pass it over altogether as an unpremeditated excuse which slashes across Hamlet's mind and is seized on without being weighed, because later in the play Hamlet deliberately in the plot against his life or not-and in all probability they determination to send a soul to Hell? And how is such appalling malevolence to be reconciled with the fact that Hamlet is unquestionably a man of great nobility and magnanimity of character, with a profound love of good and hatred of evil and with even much of the priest in his nature-witness the wise, benign and moving sermon he preaches to his mother in the next scene? It must be admitted, with regard to these questions, that the play's deeper meaning strains here the outward sense almost moment because Claudius is not himself. Sometimes the soul's the difficulties vanish. Revenge on the devil must be absolute. It requires no apologies. There must be no scruples and no compromise. But the time is not yet ripe. There would be no revenge, and therefore no self-purification, in killing Claudius at that worst possibilities may manifest themselves only partially, in such a way that it would be quite easy to overcome them. But nothing final could be hoped for from resisting them on such an occasion; it is only when those possibilities really show themselves to breaking point. But once the deeper meaning is understood, for what they are, when they are rampant in all their iniquity,

As answer to this question we may quote from Measure for Measure (written about the same time as Hamlet) what the Duke says about sending a soul to Hell. He has been trying to prepare Barnardine for death, a criminal justly sentenced to be executed for murder. When asked if Barnardine is ready to die, the Duke replies:

A creature unprepared, unmeet for death;
And to transport him in the mind he is
Were damnable.
(IV, 3).

When he is drunk asleep, or in his rage,
Or in the incestuous pleasure of his bed,
At gaming, swearing, or about some act
That has no relish of salvation in't;
Then trip him, that his heels may kick at heaven
And that his soul may be as damn'd and black
As hell, whereto it goes.
(111, 3).

In this scene the devil is far from manifesting himself fully in Claudius. The dragon has not yet come out into the open. Or in other words, Hainlet has not nearly reached the bottom of Hell. He has not even had yet any direct experience of the full villainy of Claudius. All that he has learnt so far is relatively indirect compared for example with what he finds when he opens he letter to the King of England and reads Claudius' instructions Hamlet's own body has tasted the poison. Meantime, before he can kill the great devil he has first of all to account for the lesser devils-Polonius, Rosencrantz and Guildenstern; and like Dante's cruelty' towards some of the sufferers he sees in Hell, who are really elements in his own soul, Hamlet's attitude becomes bottom of Hell is only reached when the Queen lies dead and mmediately understandable and acceptable and reconcilable with his nobility of nature if we realize that all the victims of to have him beheaded immediately on arrival; but the very his revenge are in a sense part of himself.

What has so far most impeded Hamlet upon his path is a certain apathy, sluggishness and lack of fervour. Lapsed in time and passion is the way he describes himself. The basic cause of this half-heartedness, the chief reason why it is out of the question that Claudius should be killed at this moment of the play is that the soul is divided against itself, being still, in so far as it is represented by the Queen, largely under the Devil's domination. It is only in the next scene that a certain unity of soul is achieved when Hamlet wins his mother over to his side.

This scene is as it were the centre of the play. Personifying the soul that is afraid of its conscience the Queen is afraid of her son and has been holding him at bay. Even now, when the two are to be alone together at last, she has contrived, or rather

3

let us say willingly consented, to have a third party present, one of the devil's spies, hiding behind the arras. Polonius is the embodiment of hypocrisy. His presence at the beginning of this scene means the presence, in the soul, of the determination to brazen things out. The Queen's first words to Hamlet, referring to Claudius as his 'father', are shameless in their effrontery:

Hamlet, thou hast thy father much offended. (111, 4).

But when Hamlet's sword pierces the body of Polonius, conscience pierces through the soul's mask of self-justification and with all possibility of intervention at an end the soul is forced to listen to its better self:

Leave wringing of your hands. Peace, sit you down And let me wring your heart; for so I shall If it be made of penetrable stuff,

If damned custom have not braz'd it so
That it is proof and bulwark against sense.

The Queen is eventually driven to say:

O Hamlet, speak no more! Thou turn'st mine eyes into my very soul; And then I see such black and grained spots As will not leave their tinct. No sooner is the soul's repentance assured than its good angel appears. Gertrude, representing the lower part of the soul, cannot sense directly the spiritual power which the ghost of her dead husband represents; but Hamlet sees and hears it, and under its inspiration he tells his mother what she must do.

In this scene, which is really an epitome of the whole play, even the literal sense rises to heights that are almost mystical. It is as if the drama's outer meaning, in virtue of which it is a morality play, had been drawn up to the level of its innermeaning. For whether we consider the Prince to be addressing another person or to be addressing his own soul, he is in any case speaking with an exalted penetration worthy of a spiritual master who has years of practical experience of the mystic path behind him.

According to the First Quarto' version of this scene Hamlet Nothing I can say to myself will make them leave their black tint to take on a lighter colour.

succeeds in destroying once and for all Claudius' hold over Gertrude. Morcover she promises to help Hamlet to accomplish his revenge. This is left out of the masterly revised text of the Second Quarto, which leaves the audience with the impression, not that Gertrude has completely conquered her weakness for Claudius but that she is well on her way to doing so and that she is sincerely repentant and determined to give her son all the passive support she can. They seel that like Hamlet himself she still has some obstacles to overcome; and indeed if she had not, and if Hamlet had not, Claudius would have to die then and

assume that the full text of this play was considered then, as To judge from the cuts in the first Folio edition of Hamlet, published only seven years after Shakespeare's death, we may Unfortunately one of the passages nearly always sacrificed is Act IV, scene 4, without which the balance of the play as a whole is scriously upset. In this scene Hamlet, on his way to the now, too long for the requirements of theatrical performance. Danish coast to set sail for England, has a glimpse of Fortinbras, the young Prince of Norway, who is leading his army through Denmark to fight against the Poles; and this glimpse reveals to Hamlet a hero endowed with all those virtues which he himself most needs to develop.

Fallen man stands between two perfections, one past and one future, that which was lost and that which is to be gained. In this play it is the dead King Hamlet who stands for the past perfection and its loss, whereas Fortinbras represents the perfection in which the redeemed soul, after its purification, will The analogy between the symbolism of this play and that of Henry IV is by no means exact in every detail; but the dead be reborn. It is he whom the dying Hamlet is to name as his heir. whereas Queen Gertrude and her son, taken together, correspond to the synthesis of King Henry IV and his son, while Fortinbras King Hamlet largely corresponds to the dead King Richard II,

Ruth towards king Hamlet just as Henry IV is burdened with guilt towards king Richard, but Punce Hamlet, the censurer of self and others, also has much in common with Henry IV, whereas Gertrude in some respects comes closer, symbolically, to the repentant prodigal Prince Hal. Needless to say there is no exact correspondence here between parent and parent and between son and son. It is true that Gertrude is burdened with

in a sense corresponds to that son regenerated as King Henry V. But this scene, where Fortinbras first appears, is needed above all in that it marks a stage in the development of Hamlet, who drinks a new strength into his soul from his vision of Fortinbras. in the soliloquy which is prompted by this foretaste of his own true self there is a ring of confidence and resolution which we have not heard before. It must be remembered in this connection that the symbolism of honour throughout this play is inextricably connected with the symbolism of revenge. In other words, as the incentive to revenge, honour means spiritual aspiration.

as the play goes on, more and more weight is thrown into the In Hamlet, as also in King Lear, the play begins with worldly wisdom in a state of triumph. It is as if Shakespeare had set up a pair of scales, and to begin with he allows the weight of worldly wisdom in one scale to lift the opposite scale of spiritual wisdom right up into the air, so that it appears as 'light' as folly. But spiritual scale until, even before the last act, it has sunk down to rest on a solid, sober foundation. By the time King Lear is drawing to its close the Fool has disappeared, Edgar has ceased Hamlet we see no more of the Prince's 'madness' after he has his new-found strength and determination. Meantime it is the scale of worldly wisdom which, found sadly wanting, hangs to feign madness, and Lear has recovered his sanity. Similarly in left for England; and when he returns he astonishes Horatio with unstable and transitory as it is, racing towards decay, ruin and death, is pictured in the madness of Ophelia. For her there are poised aloft in insecure suspense; and the 'lightness' of this world, only two categories-the dead and the dying.

And will he not come again? And will he not come again? Go to thy death bed. No, no, he is dead.

He never will come again. (IV, 5).

aspirations, the shattering of all worldly hopes; and it is signi-Ophelia's madness is like a mirror for the failure of all worldly ficant, considering what she stands for in the play as a whole, that the corpse which is being buried in the church-yard scene is none other than hers.

In this scene, Hamlet, who is himself to die the next day, has

a Kir

skull in his hands; he even almost tastes death as he remembers the inevitable certainty of death brought home to him with a concrete realism which makes his bones ache, and those of the audience too. He is made to hear death in the knocking together of dead men's bones as the grave-digger throws down one against another; he sees, touches and smells death as he takes the jester's how often as a child he had put his lips against what is now no more than two rows of teeth set in two jaw-bones:

Here hung those lips that I have kissed I خ خ know not how oft.

Moreover the scene is to end with the actual burial of everything happiness.19 His own days are numbered too, for it comes out that the grave-digger had taken up his profession on the day that Hamlet was born, thirty years previously; and for him the Prince that had represented, for Hamlet, the possibility of earthly is already almost a thing of the past, one who has not only come but gone. There is a strange and sudden chill about the words, spoken with the objectivity of a chronicle:

It was the very day that young Hamlet was born; he that is mad, and sent into England.

We are reminded by this scene that more than one mystic has himself out in a cosin; and this is precisely what Hamlet is made to do here. It leads up to his speech in the final scene where he sought before now to familiarize himself with death by laying expresses his readiness to die at any time. What does it matter if a man die young, since no man really ever possesses any of the things he leaves behind him at death?

he leaves, what is't to leave betimes? Since no man has aught of what

We have come a long way from the fears expressed about death in the most famous of his soliloquies.

ebb. As has already been pointed out in an earlier chapter, be That soliloquy, To be or not to be ..., marks Hamlet's lowest goes somewhat back after the first encounter with his father ¹⁰ There is a strong suggestion of death agony in the convulsive violence of Hamlet's outburst over Ophelia's grave—his towering passion as he regretfully describes it the next day.

HAMLET

trust in Providence from the discovery of Claudius' letter to the with considerable insistence, every detail of his escape to Divine intervention, and his account of what happened enables trust in Providence to take its place as cornerstone in the remarkable an altogether objective, sense of values, he dismisses Rosencrantz to step between two mighty opposites, that is, between himself ocfore he begins to go forward. We cannot start to trace the Onwards from there, the soul gains singleness and sincerity from resolution, a sense of true greatness and even a foretaste of and a foretaste of death from the churchyard scene; and complete King of England. Hamlet's discovery of this plot to have him image of royalty which Shakespeare gives us in. Hamlet at the beginning of this scene. Without the least arrogance, but with and Guildenstern as baser natures who have perished for daring development of the soul he represents until the play-scene, in the reconciliation between Hamlet and his mother; confidence, perfection from the glimpse of Fortinbras; resignation to death killed in England takes place shortly after he sees Fortinbras, but we only hear of it in the last scene of the play. He ascribes, and Claudius-mighty because, as we may interpret, since all Heaven is on his side, as he now knows beyond doubt, the clash which doubts are altogether removed and faith confirmed. is ultimately between Michael and Lucifer.

Why, what a king is this!

only here, for the very first time, does Hamlet mention among crown; and when Horatio implies that there is no time to be lost because news of what has happened will shortly come from exclaims Horatio in wonderment. It is significant also that Claudius' other iniquities, that he has robbed him of his rightful England, and when Hamlet replies:

And a man's life's no more than to say 'One' It will be short; the interim is mine;

we know that Claudius has not long to live.

up in the words the readiness is all. 'Everyman' knows that he The keynote of this opening passage to the final scene is has almost come to the end of his journey and that the end will be victory but also, necessarily, death. The confidence in the one maturity-readiness in every sense of the word, and it is summed

SHAKESPEARE IN THE LIGHT OF SACRED ART

and the foreboding of the other are expressed in Hamlet's words to Horatio:

I shall win at the odds. But thou wouldst not think how ill all's here about my heart.

These words, with their combination of victory and death, are equivalent to Henry IV's:

And wherefore should these good news make me sick?

(IA.

as he hears of his victory over the rebels. Symbolically the two situations are identical; Henry IV here corresponds exactly to Hamlet before the fencing match. All that remains to be achieved, in either case, is the complete redemption of the other aspect of the soul, represented in Henry IV by the Prince and in Hamlet by the Queen. As regards the Queen, 'the return of the prodigal' has in a sense already taken place; but att demands that it should be clinched beyond all doubt. In this respect, what is generally accepted today as the final text is almost certainly more elliptical than Shakespeare originally intended it to be when he conceived the play. After the King and Laertes withdraw together at the end of Act IV, scene 5, the First Quarto has a scene in which Horatio tells the Queen of Claudius' unsuccessful attempt to have Hamlet killed in England and of Hamlet's return. When the Queen learns that her son is back in Denmark, she tells Horatio:

Bid him awhile Be wary of his presence, lest he fail In that he goes about which means, freely paraphrased: 'Tell him to make quite sure that Claudius does not kill him before he kills Claudius'. But although this scene is left out in all the later editions of the play, according to the final text a letter is brought from Hamlet to his mother, presumably telling her everything. Moreover, on the basis of Claudius' remark at the end of the churchyard scene:

Good Gerinde, set some watch upon your son,

we may imagine that mother and son have ample time to discuss the whole situation. However that may be, the Queen would be

_

certain that Hamlet's life was in the greatest danger, and she would be watching Claudius' every move. It is very likely, to say the least, that she is suspicious of the drink that Claudius has prepared for her son, and that she drinks from it herself to test it. Though not clear from the text, this can be made clear by the actress. But even if we do not accept this interpretation, Shakespeare has completed his symbolism beyond all doubt by making this last action on the part of the Queen an act of direct disobedience to Claudius who had forbidden her to drink, and by making her final words whole-heartedly on the side of her son:

No, no, the drink, the drink,—O my dear Hamlet,— The drink, the drink!—I am poison'd. As to Hamlet's last words, it is perhaps significant that they are a message to Fortinbras. This, together with the entry of Fortinbras immediately after Hamlet's death marks a certain continuity between the dead prince and the living one. There is a suggestion—nothing more—that Hamlet is mysteriously reborn in Fortinbras, though Shakespeare does not indicate this 'alchemy' explicitly here as he does in Henry IV. At the end of Hamlet the stress lies rather on the fruit of rebirth. Except a man be born again...: If the play as a whole corresponds to an interpenetration of Dante's Inferno and Purgatorio, the Paradiso is none the less not merely implicit. It is expressly anticipated in Horatio's farewell prayer for Hamlet:

Flights of angels sing thee to thy rest!

CHAPTER V

Othello

THE essential feature of man's primordial state was the union of his soul with the Spirit; and one of the most universal symbols The prototype of this symbolism in Christianity lies in Christ's own references to himself as 'the Bridegroom'; and the Middle of the regaining of that state is marriage, the union of lovers. Ages were dominated by the conception of the Church or, microcosmically, the soul as the bride of Christ. Let us quote from the beginning of Ruysbroek's The Adornment of the Spiritual Marriage:

And in the beginning He had set her in the highest and most "This Bridegroom is Christ, and human nature is the bride; the which God has made in His own image and after His likeness. beautiful, the richest and most fertile place in all the earth; that Creatures; and He had adorned her with graces; and had given is, in Paradise. And He had given her dominion over all her a commandment, so that by obedience she might have merited to be confirmed and established with her Bridegroom in an eternal troth, and never to fall into any grief, or any sin.

Then came a beguiler, the hellish fiend, full of envy, in the shape of a subtle serpent. . . . And the fiend seduced the bride of God with false counsel; and she was driven into a strange beset by her enemies; so that it seemed as though she might never country, poor and miserable and captive and oppressed, and attain reconciliation and return again to her native land.

the suffering of His beloved, He sent His Only Begotten Son to But when God thought the time had come, and had mercy on earth, in a fair chamber, in a glorious temple; that is, in the body of the Virgin Mary. There he was married to this bride, our nature."

Mediaeval art was continually expressing this union, in various ways, as for example in pictures of the mystical marriage of St.

1 Jan van Ruysbroeck, The Adornment of the Spiritual Marriage, translated by C. A. Wynschenk Dom. pp. 3-4. (John Watkins, 1951).

OTHELLO

of Eden on the top of the Mountain of Purgatory, Beatrice his soul and he the Spirit. But the Virgin Mary, in virtue of her also stands for the Spirit, and so by extension may a perfect woman. In The Divine Comedy, when Dante reaches the Garden beloved, personifying spiritual wisdom, descends from Heaven and the two meet in the terrestrial Paradise; and in The Faerie Catharine of Alexandria with Christ, she representing the perfect Assumption and Coronation and her function as Co-Redemptress, Queene, the sequel to the Red Crosse Knight's victory over the dragon is his marriage to the Lady Una.

In Othello the black Moor and his white lady are soul and Spirit. Like Cordelia, Desdemona is 'the pearl of great price' which was wantonly thrown away. Othello describes himself as:

One whose hand Like the base Judean threw a pearl away Richer than all his tribe. As for Iago, Othello says of him, after his iniquity has been revealed:

I look down towards his feet; but that's a fable,

meaning: I look down to see the devil's cloven hooves; but since human feet, I now learn that the current idea about the devil's feet is a mere fable. Then he strikes at Iago with his sword I see that Iago, who is unquestionably the devil, has ordinary saying:

and in fact he cannot kill him. Iago remarks to Lodovico: If that thou be'st a devil, I cannot kill thee,

I bleed, sir, but not killed.

husband and wife are temporarily separated, the Senate agrees The sudden and secret marriage of Othello and Desdemona at the beginning of the play has taken lago by surprise. But this union of soul and Spirit is only virtual; it marks the outset of the spiritual path, not the end, and symbolizes initiation rather than realization; and the first scene opens upon the devil preparing to do all in his power to wreck the marriage before it can come to fullness. To start with he can do little, for although that Desdemona shall follow Othello to Cyprus; but their first night there together is disturbed by the drunken brawl which lago has staged; and the next morning he begins to imbue

so that the two lovers are never really in peace together until at Othello with the suspicion that Desdemona is unfaithful to him, the end they are lying dead side by side upon the marriage bed. Only then, after it has passed through the 'narrow gate' of death, is the soul truly united with the Spirit.

But it has a foretaste of Paradise, when the Moor arrives in Cyprus to find that Desdemona is already there before him. Her speedy coming has been almost miraculous, for as Cassio says:

Tempests themselves, high seas and howling winds, Traitors ensteep'd to clog the guiltless keel, The gutter'd rocks, and congregated sands, Their mortal natures, letting go safely by As having sense of beauty, do omit The divine Desdemona.

When Othello enters he says:

Let the winds blow till they have waken'd death! And let the labouring bark climb hills of seas To see you here before me. O my soul's joy! Il gives me wonder great as my content If after every tempest come such calms, Olympus-high, and duck again as low As hell's from heaven!

We have here an anticipation of the terrible 'storm' that is to follow, but also, in a sense, a guarantee of the final peace.

It may be asked: If Desdemona symbolizes the Spirit, why have done? But apart from the fact that Desdemona's proneness to think well of people unless given good reasons for not doing so is an aspect of her generosity and childlikeness and therefore can never account for every aspect of the higher reality that it of Shakespeare's symbols than the author himself and that he was does she not see through Iago, as Cordelia would undoubtedly part of her perfection, it must be remembered that a symbol It is probable that Claudius in Hamlet represents Shakespeare's symbolizes. One has the impression that no one was more critical own consciousness of the inadequacy of Falstaff as a personificacontinually striving to make them fuller and more all-embracing. tion of the devil; and Jago is certainly an 'improvement' on Claudius. Similarly the transcendence of Cordelia no doubt partly reflects Shakespeare's consciousness of certain shortconings in

OTHELLO

we are made to feel that there is something cosmic and universal Desdemona is unquestionably adequate to fulfil her function in this play. She is convincingly perfect, and human perfection is a mirror for Divine Perfection. Moreover she is the ideal complement to Othello. The Ancient World and the Middle Ages held that every human being is perfectly matched by another human being of the opposite sex. The two may be separated by time and space and may never meet in this life, but if they do, no ordinary earthly passion can compare with the love that each feels for the other. Consequently, since a true symbol must be perfect of its kind, we may say that where the love as this is fully worthy to represent the primordial relationship between soul and Spirit, and it is clear that Shakespeare had no less than such love in mind when he drew the characters of Romeo and Juliet, for example, of Othello and Desdemona, and of Antony and Cleopatta. In Othello, as in these other plays, in the intense mutual attraction between the lovers; and our thoughts leap to identify themselves with Othello's when he says Desdemona as a personification of the Spirit. None the less, granted that a symbol must always fall short in some respects, symbolism of sexual love is used, only such total and 'absolute' in the last scene, with reference to his wife's death:

Of sun and moon, and that the affrighted globe Methinks it should be now a huge eclipse Should yawn at alteration. lago, by far the most villainous of all Shakespeare's villains, is his last representation of the devil as such, and it is difficult to conceive how this representation could be surpassed. In his subsequent plays, as we shall see, it suits his purpose better to let his villians represent certain aspects of evil, without actually personifying evil's root. Edmund, in King Lear, is no doubt the second most villainous of Shakespeare's villains, but there is no common measure between him and Iago as regards what motirates their crimes. Edmund's chief motive is worldly ambition, whereas Iago's villainy is ultimately determined by love of evil and hatred of good. They have none the less much in common as regards outlook, and this outlook serves, incidentally, as a clear indication of where Shakespeare stands in the transition from Middle Ages to Renaissance which was still not quite 47

complete in the England of his day. More than once his drama is a meeting place, almost a battleground, for the two points of view; and it is significant that lago and Edmund are both out and out humanists, that is, typically representative of the Renaissance, and typical rebels against mediaeval tradition. Iago even goes so far as to deny the existence of virtue as an ideal since that implies, most unhumanistically, that there is some power above man which sets a standard for man to conform to.

Virtue! a fig! 'tis in ourselves that we are thus or thus. Our bodies are our gardens to the which our wills are gardeners.... If the balance of our lives had not one scale of reason to poise another of sensuality, the blood and baseness of our natures would conduct us to most preposterous conclusions; but we have reason to cool our raging motions, our carnal stings, our unbitted lusts. (1, 3).

lago might almost have said: "Thou, reason, art my goddess' just as Edmund does in fact say

Thou, nature, art my goddess. (1, 2).

Either remark is centrally humanist, for according to humanism, humanity is the highest thing in existence, and humanity as such is limited to reason and to nature. Beyond reason, which marks nature's upper boundary, the supernatural begins. Under the flag of Renaissance humanism, naturalism in art and rationalism in thought march together side by side. It is clearly humanism, the rationalistic denial of all that is superhuman and supernatural that the mediaeval Hamlet means by the word 'philosophy' when he says:

There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio, Than are dreamt of in your philosophy.² (1. 5).

Nor can there be any doubt that Hamlet is here voicing Shake-speare's own view. The same may be said of the equally mediaeval Duke of Vienna in Measure for Measure when he sums up Barnadine's badness:

Sirrah, thou art said to have a stubborn soul. That apprehends no further than this world. (v, 1

1 These lines are certainly not directed against Horatio. The possessive 'your' is bere general and impersonal. The Arden editors quote as a parallel Hamlet's Your worm is your only emperor for diet.

OTHELLO

1

with an equally concentrated brevity. Although compressed into only a few lines, its anguish is so intense that it altogether ruth falsehood. But although the descent is gradual, there is no correspondingly gradual development of soul in this play. The first stage of the journey only becomes spiritually effective when, at the bottom of Hell, the truth suddenly breaks in upon Othello ike a flash of lightning which lights up in retrospect the whole descent that he had made in darkness, and he is transformed in an instant from a dupe to a wise man. Then follows Purgatory, him from Desdemona, this heavenly sight, on the Day of In Othello, unlike Hamlet, Hell and Purgatory are treated separately and successively. Almost the whole of Othello is taken up with the descent into Hell: the soul, personified by the Moor, gradually plumbs the very depths of error, that is, of thinking that black is white and white is black, that falsehood is truth and convinces us of expiation and purification. Othello anticipates, and therefore wears out to nothing, all that would have separated 'udgement, when we shall meet at compt. He cries out:

Whip me ye devils, From the possession of this heavenly sight!

Blow me about in winds! roast me in sulphur!

Wash me in steep-down gulfs of liquid fire!

Then, as it were in sign that his expiation is complete, a deep calm settles upon his sadness almost from that moment until

The everlasting union of soul and Spirit after death is indicated by Othello's dying upon a kiss and also by the marriage sheets on the bed of death, a detail that Shakespeare stresses just as much as he stresses the fact of Henry IV's death in the Jerusalem Chamber.

Everything is really explained in the Moor's own objective judgment of himself at the end when he tells the Venetians that they must speak of him as

Of one that loved not wisely but too well, Of one not easily jealous but being wrought Perplex'd in the extreme.

He does not mean by the words not wisely but too well that he had loved Desdemona too much, but on the contrary that he

SHAKESPEARE IN THE LIGHT OF SACRED ART

wisdom such as might limit the extent of passion, but wisdom in the higher sense which would have added its light to the heat of passion and made him see that Desdemona was in fact goodness itself. Then he would have been proof against lago's deceptions, whereas a blind love which had too much passion in proportion to its wisdom made him a relatively easy victim. In this play it is blindness above all that characterizes fallen man, the devil, until he is so perplexed that he comes to believe the had not loved her enough. Wisdom here is certainly not worldly leaving a loophole for him to be wrought, that is, worked on by exact opposite of the truth.

even at the outset, and this partly helps to make the quickness Unlike Shakespeare's other heroes, the Moor is almost perfect of his passage through Purgatory so convincing. It is as if only of wisdom or vision. Now the descent into Hell for the discovery of the soul's worst possibilities is only necessary because these one element were lacking to complete his perfection, an element need to be recovered, purified and reintegrated, for in order to be perfect the soul must be complete. This question will have to be considered more fully in connection with Measure for Measure. For the moment it is enough to bear in mind that the possibilities are an integral part of the psychic substance and lost and perverted elements have first to be found and then redeemed, and that the interval between finding and redemption is likely to be fraught with danger. The case of Othello might be described by saying that when he reaches the bottom of Hell he finds a hitherto unknown blind eye, namely the lost element of vision, lying in the depths of his soul. Corruptio optimi pessima, and since this eye, as well as being virtually the most precious is also the most powerful of the psychic elements, it is able to transinit its blindness to the rest of the soul, and he throws away 'the pearl of great price'. Then dawns the truth. Shakespeare achieves here an overwhelming impact of a kind which drama alone, of all the arts, makes possible. Emilia's lago, her instantaneous and dazzlingly clear proof that white is white and black is black, comes as a fat lux. The blind eye is revelation of the innocence of Desdemona and the villainy of filled with light and takes its rightful place at the summit of the soul. The stone which the builders rejected is become the head of the corner.

CHAPTER VI

Measure for Measure

to govern the production, and if everything is done to make between these two characters, then the whole balance of one of the audience feel that the essence of the play lies in the clash Shakespeare's masterpieces will be in danger of being upset unpleasant taste in the mouth. It was liable to do so because a superficial first glance at the play is liable to set a director's imagination flowing in the wrong channels. It is immediately obvious which parts are dramatically the most effective, whence the temptation to subordinate everything to these two parts, as if the play were entitled Angelo and Isabella on the lines of Shakespeare's double-titled tragedies. If such an idea is allowed that Measure for Measure, the 'bitter comedy', should leave an Ir had become almost a 'tradition' until a year or two ago from the very start.

of Oberon and Prospero, it must be admitted that the part of throughout. In Measure for Measure the sky-light which makes in A Midsummer Night's Dream and The Tempest than those are permitted to take the higher standpoint not merely at the end as in other Shakespearean plays, but also to a certain extent this possible is the Duke of Vienna; and if it be admitted, as it readily will be, that no parts can be considered more important by the fact that in all three plays the events can be viewed from a heavenly as well as from an earthly angle, and the audience Measure for Measure and two other plays which are practically never mentioned with it in the same breath, namely A Midsummer Night's Dream and The Tempest. It is true that as regards setting they are as remote from it as the supernatural is from the subnatural. What indeed could be more remote from an enchanted wood and an enchanted island than a corrupt city centred round its prison? But this difference is far outweighed What is not obvious at first is the basic resemblance between the Duke is equally important in the third play.

During the last few years more than one director has taken

this into consideration, allowing the Duke to dominate the play as Shakespeare clearly intended that he should; and these productions have demonstrated that far from deserving the epithet 'bitter', Measure for Measure is penetrated by a deep serenity which makes it, despite its setting, a herald of the plays of the poet's final period.

Measure for Measure was written about the same time as Hamlet and Othello, either between the two1 or shortly after them. In Hamlet and Othello the devil is represented by a separate character as if he were entirely outside the human soul whose spiritual journey is the deeper theme of the play. Such a manner of representation makes it possible to paint the devil in his true colours and to portray the hero in such a way as to give a definite foretaste of the perfection towards which he is journey is chiefly concerned with the devil's inward presence, being developed. But in actual fact the first part of the spiritual which can best be conveyed by foregoing any separate representation of him and by revealing diabolical elements in the soul of the hero; and if the dramatist sets out to do this, he immediately finds himself in something of a dilemma. If the diabolical is not painted sufficiently black, the meaning will be lost; but if it is painted black enough, there is a risk that the audience's sympathies will be alienated from the hero, and this will be fatal to the 'alchemy' of the play, for they will not be able to identify themselves with the Everyman that he represents.

In Measure for Measure Shakespeare ventures to represent the devil as being inside the soul and at the same time avoids spoiling the effect of his play through the device of portraying Everyman three times, in three closely inter-woven plots. These Angelo, and Claudio. In the second of these Everyman has an unmistakeably satanic devil inside him; but although we are in are, to name each after its central character, the plots of Isabella, consequence alienated from Angelo, and although we are scarcely given time at the end to become fully reconciled to him-though it is up to a good actor to achieve a reconciliation with the

order in which the plays are generally agreed to have been written. For a more complete list of the later plays, All's Well That Ends Well and Troilus and Cressida must be added between Hamlet and Othello, and Corrolanus, Timon of Athens and Pericles between Antony and Cleopatra 1 But for this possible exception, the chapters are arranged according to the

MEASURE FOR MEASURE

audience-this does not spoil the effect of the play, because there are two other souls for us to identify ourselves with, while at the same time the three plots are so inextricable that the clearly established inwardness of the devil in one may serve, as it were by refraction, for his inwardness in the others.

context; but the two spiritual paths traced out by these two characters are 'rhythmically' almost identical. In both cases the slow descent into Hell which takes up almost the whole play by a Purgatory which is compressed into only a few lines but which in each case is altogether convincing in virtue of its Psychologically the two characters Othello and Angelo are so different that they are scarcely ever thought of in the same terminates with a sudden dazzling flash of truth and is followed intensity.

One of the keys to understanding in general the descent into Hell, and in particular the part of Angelo as well as corresponding parts in other plays of Shakespeare, is Mariana's speech in the final scene:

And for the most become much more the better They say best men are moulded out of faults, For being a little bad; so may my husband.

As we have seen in the case of Othello, the soul cannot be made perfect until it is complete. In order to reverse the process of the Fall by which part of man's soul came under the domination of the devil it is necessary first of all to regain consciousness of by a journey into the depths of the earth in search of hidden treasure: the lost psychic elements are symbolized by precious stones which have been stolen and hidden by diabolically cunning dwarfs. The second part of the spiritual path is concerned with the winning back of the lost jewels, that is, the freeing of the rediscovered psychic substance from the devil's domination. the lost psychic elements which lie in dormant or semi-dormant perversion in the nethermost depths of the soul. Thus it is that in some traditional stories the descent into Hell is represented

perfect, but as yet he is merely a human fragment. The Duke is well aware of this; he is also aware that beneuth Angelo's If in Othello the fallenness of fallen man is represented as blindness, in Measure for Measure the stress is on incompleteness, at any rate as far as Angelo is concerned. At the beginning of the play Angelo appears to be by certain standards almost

limitations there lies a deep sincerity of purpose coupled with a sincere desire for perfection. Certainly it is not from any intent to harm him but rather to help him to know himself that the Duke confers on him the vice-regency. It is this 'initiation' which marks for Angelo the beginning of the descent into the hidden depths of his own soul.

What is traditionally known as 'the descent into Hell' is termed so because through it the lower possibilities of the soul are revealed. But the modern development of psycho-analysis makes it necessary to explain that this first phase of the mystic path is radically different from any psycho-analytical descent into the subconscious. Psycho-analysis is largely a case of the blind leading the blind, for it is simply one soul working upon another without the help of any transcendent power. But initiation, followed up by the devotional and ascetic practices that are implicit in it, opens the door to contact with the perfecting and unifying power of the Spirit, whose presence demands that the psychic substance shall become once again a single whole. The more or less scattered elements of this substance are thus compelled to come together; and some of them come in anger, from attached to them. From this point of view it is truer to say that Hell rises than that the mystic descends; and the result of this dark and remote hiding places, with the infernal powers still as battleground. The mystic fights, by definition, on the side of rising is a battle between the 'mighty opposites', with the soul Heaven; but the enemy will spare no stratagem to seduce him into fighting on the wrong side.

In no play does Shakespeare represent more clearly than in Measure for Measure the dangers of the spiritual path. At the outset of the path the perverted psychic elements are more or less dormant and remote from the centre of consciousness. They must first of all be woken and then redeemed, for they cannot be purified in their sleep; and it is when they wake in a state of raging perversion that there is always the risk that they will overpower the whole soul. This is what happens with Angelo; but in his case it is necessary that he should be overcome for a while by his lower self in order that his pride may be broken; and in the end he is saved by his basic sincerity which calls down a Divine Grace personified by the Duke.

The chaos in Angelo's soul is instantly reduced to order in the

final scene by the flash of truth which is brought about by the sudden appearance of the Duke from beneath his disguise as the Friar. Then begins Purgatory, and Angelo dies as it were ten thousand deaths in the space of a few minutes. But by the beginning of the last scene, even before the appearance of the Duke, Angelo was no longer merely a human fragment: his soul was a chaos of warring virtue and vice, with vice momentarily in the ascendant, but it was at least a complete soul; and it is because the fallen soul in quest of perfection has first of all to be made complete by the addition of faults, which are only subsequently purified and transformed into virtues, that Mariana

They say best men are moulded out of faults.

In Shakespeare's maturer plays there are many echoes of his earlier plays, sometimes as if the author felt that he had failed to do justice to a good idea, and wished to try his hand again. There can be little doubt that The Taming of the Shrew was in his mind when he conceived the central theme of Measure for Measure, but his treatment of the Duke and Isabella is as subtle as his treatment of Petruchio and Katharina is crude. Perhaps if he could have foreseen the fate of Measure for Measure in the hands of posterity, he would have made the 'taming' of Isabella a little less subtle, or at any rate made his intention more explicitly unmistakeable. But we must remember that he wrote on the understanding that he would produce his own plays, or at least be present at the production.

Whenever Isabella jars on us, she was certainly intended to jar. At the beginning of the play she appears, like Angelo, to have a certain perfection, but like him she is no more than a human fragment. Nor could she ever have become fully herself by following the spiritual path that she has already chosen. On the contrary, one feels that the very sacrifices she plans to make would have merely increased the one-sidedness of her development. It is significant that the first words we hear her speak are an expression of disappointment that the nuns of St. Clare—one of whom she hopes to become—are not bound by stricter rules. She is prepared to throw herself wholeheartedly into a life of celibacy, fasting and prayer; but Providence refuses the sacrifices she is prepared to make which would no doubt have

SHAKESPEARE IN THE LIGHT OF SACRED ART

been relatively easy for her and demands in their place sacrifices of an altogether different nature. Isabella's shortcoming which she has to make good is shown up very clearly in the prison scene when her brother asks her to save his life at the price of her chastity. Shakespeare clearly intends us to think that she is right in refusing; but he does not intend us to think that she is right in saying to her brother:

Reprieve thee from thy fate, it should proceed. I'll fray a thousand prayers for thy death, Die, perish! Might but my bending down No word to save thee.

he never comments on the hard and self-righteous streak in Isabella's character, what he arranges for her to do in the last act of the play is such a perfect 'measure for measure' that there can be no doubt that it is based on a full knowledge of her particular fault. For first of all she is called upon to make a false We know that the Duke is listening to this speech; and though secondly she is called upon to go on her knees and beg for the declaration in public that she did sacrifice her chastity; and both her and her brother, and on whom, with her all too human Isabella stands in silence beside her. The Duke refuses Mariana's life of the man who, as she believes, has most terribly wronged the situation. Angelo is married to Mariana and then condemned to death. Mariana goes on her knees to beg pardon for Angelo. request; again she asks, and again he refuses. We must imagine sense of justice, she is thirsting to have her revenge. Let us recall that he is longing for Isabella to intervene, but the intervention must come unprompted by him. She still stands there in silence. Then Mariana turns to Isabella and says:

Lend me your knees, and, all my life to come, I'll lend you all my life to do you sernice. Sweet Isabel, take my part:

Isabella makes no movement, and the Duke says:

Her brother's ghost his paved bed would break, Should she kneel down in mercy of this fact. Against all sense vou do importune her: And take her hence in horror.

MEASURE FOR MEASURE

Mariana is not to be silenced, but continues:

Isabel.

Sweet Isabel, do yet but kneel by me:

Isabella still stands like a figure of stone:

Hold up your hands, say nothing, I'll speak all,

says Mariana, but Isabella's hands remain at her sides. Mariana goes on:

And, for the most, become much more the better They say best men are moulded out of faults, For being a little bad; so may my husband. O, Isabel! will you not lend a knee?

He dies for Claudio's death,

her knees beside Mariana. The Duke has created this situation, deliberately making things as difficult as possible for her, so that her intervention when it finally comes, may be a real triumph over herself. The victory which is symbolized in Hamlet by revenge is symbolized in Measure for Measure by the foregoing says the Duke. Then at last Isabella steps forward and goes on of revenge.

Isabella. For him the most difficult thing in the world is to determined to prevent his execution, holds out no hope of life The part of Claudio runs parallel to those of Angelo and become resigned to the idea of death. The Duke, although to him until he has attained and made firm the necessary resignation. When Claudio says to him:

I have hope to live and am prepared to die,

he replies:

Be absolute for death; either death or life Shall thereby be the sweeter.

At the end of the Duke's speech, Claudio says:

And, seeking death, find life: let it come on. To sue to live, I find I seek to die, I humbly thank you.

Later however he becomes unsettled again, and the Duke says to him:

prepare yourself to death. Do not satisfy your resolution with hopes that are fallible: to-morrow you must die; go to your knees and make ready;

and when Claudio replies that he is out of love with life, the Duke insists: Hold you there!

This third theme of the play, far simpler yet no less profound than the other two, sums up the spiritual path as a 'dying into life'.

Outwardly Measure for Measure represents, in Shakespeare's art, a more direct continuity with the Middle Ages than is to be found in any of his other plays. Consequently it is not too much to assume that to its earliest audiences, who still had much of the Middle Ages in them, this play would have presented no problems. They would not, for example, with a purely psychological interpretation, have pitied Mariana for being married to such a man as Angelo, for they would have sensed that by the end Angelo had been washed as white as snow. Nor would they have disliked Isabella for taking so long to be merciful, for they would have realised that at the moment of her going down on her knees, the last flaw in an otherwise perfect soul had been forever effaced.

It is by no means impossible to make this clear to a modern audience also; but to no audience can the play be wholly acceptable unless they are made to feel, as unremittantly as possible, that all spiritual wisdom is embodied in the Duke, who personifies the transcendence of the claims of the next world over this world and whose presence in this world is, to use Angelo's world, like power Divine.¹ The Duke is trebly a symbol of the Spirit. Firstly, he is the spiritual guide of the three souls in quest of perfection. Secondly, Isabella's marriage with him at the end means no less than the perfected soul's union with the Spirit; for every body in a sense, but for her in particular, the beginning of the last sene recalls the words of the Gospel;

MEASURE FOR MEASURE

'Behold, the Bridegroom cometh, go ye out to meet him.' It is in virtue of being in a sense a prolongation of the Duke that the faithful Mariana may be said to stand for the Spirit in relation to Angelo, to whom the Duke says:

Love her, Angelo!

I have confess'd her and I know her virtue. (V, 1).

Thirdly, when the Duke takes his seat on the throne to pronounce the final verdicts, there is an unmistakeable impression—certainly intended by Shakespeare—of the Last Judgement, an impression which is made all the stronger because although, literally speaking, the Duke was merely disguised as a priest, we have none the less come to look on him as an incarnation of the two functions of spiritual authority and temporal power merged into one. Moreover we cannot help noticing, in retrospect, another resemblance between him and Doomsday's Judge: although supposed to be 'absent', he has in fact been present all the time.

There is nothing transcendent about Juliet, whom Claudio marries. But there is no need to analyse this last marriage, since it may be said to bask in the sun of the other two marriages and to borrow its symbolism from theirs.

Thus was admitable brought out in Michael Flheat's production (the last play to be performed at the Oid Vic before at became the National Theatre) and in John Blatchley's Stratford production about the same time.

CHAPTER VII

Macbeth

ALTHOUGH Macbeth is largely taken up with the descent into Hell, what makes it something of an exception among the plays of Shakespeare's maturity is that it lacks any clear indication of human salvation but human damnation, at any rate as far as this, a deeper meaning analogous to those of the other plays of Purgatory. As a morality play, its theme is unquestionably not concerns the two chief characters-the only two who have any real claims upon our interest. Did Shakespeare hide, beneath this period? In other words, do Macbeth and Lady Macbeth each represent a human soul which not only makes the descent into Hell but also simultaneously the ascent up the Mountain of Purgatory? The Clarendon editors seem to answer 'yes', at any rate as regards Lady Macbeth, and if she is a 'purgatorial pilgrim, then Macbeth must be one also. They say: 'Shakespeare has inspired his audience with pity for Lady Macbeth and made them feel that her guilt has almost been absolved by the terrible retribution which followed.' The Arden editors also seem to agree that Lady Macbeth has expiated her sins. But is there not a wide difference between Gertrude's saying to Hamlet:

Thou lurns't mine eyes into my very soul And there I see such black and grained spots. As will not leave their tinct

and Lady Macbeth's saying in her sleep:

Here's the smell of the blood still: all the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand. (v, 1).

Is not Lady Macbeth's utterance a cry of despair rather than, like Gertrude's, a cry of repentance? In any case, from the beginning to the end of the play neither Macbeth nor Lady Macbeth shows any real virtue. It is true that they are not merely themselves, but that each is a human soul with the devil

Inside it. But with Angelo, who also has the devil inside him, there is a genuine conflict between great good and great evil, whereas the conflict in Macbeth's soul is mainly between worldly ambition and the desire for worldly security. He even says quite explicitly that he is prepared to risk whatever may happen to him in the next world, and that what makes him hesitate to murder Duncan is the fear that some retribution will overtake him in this life:

That but this blow Might be the be-all and the end-all here, But here upon this bank and shoal of time, IVe'ld jump the life to come. (1, 7).

Ethical considerations are not altogether absent from his mind, but they appear to have a very secondary place. Later, after he has murdered Duncan, he is conscious of having sold his soul to the devil. But here again Shakespeare stresses his regret, not so much that he has sold it, but that he has sold it too cheaply:

For Banquo's issue have I fil'd my mind:
For them the gracious Duncan have I murder'd;
Put rancours in the vessel of my peace
Only for them; and mine eternal jewel
Given to the common enemy of man
To make them kings, the seed of Banquo kings!
(111, 1).

But against all this it may be argued that as the play proceeds Macbeth and Lady Macbeth are more and more weighed down by a sense of guilt and not merely a sense of worldly failure. Even immediately after the murder the dominating thought in Macbeth's mind is guilt:

Will all great Neptune's ocean wash this blood Clean from my hand? No; this my hand will rather The multitudinous seas incarnadine Making the green one red. (11, 2).

It is partly true that Macbeth becomes more hardened against the sense of guilt as the play goes on, but it is not altogether true. Is it possible that a soul doomed to damnation should cry out

with such longing for inward peace as is expressed in Macbeth's words to the doctor:

Cleanse the stuff'd bosom of that perilons stuff Canst thou not minister to a mind diseas'd, Raze out the written troubles of the brain, Pluck from the memory a rooted sorrow, And with some sweet oblivious antidore Which weighs upon the heart?

suggests an uncertainty of purpose, and we must remember that like all actor-playwrights Shakespeare sometimes had to write a it ready whether or not his conception of it had had time to The fact that the foregoing questions can be asked at all play for a particular occasion which meant that he had to have mature. However that may be, Macbeth is an anomaly in more than one respect. Apart from scenes which were almost certainly added by later hands, and considering only what appears to be authentic, one has the impression that Shakespeare himself wrote some of his later scenes merely because without them the play in other words, he wrote them to make a drama out of what was not really a dramatic inspiration. It is true that some of the earlier scenes are among the most dramatic that Shakespeare ever wrote; but the well known criticism of Timon of Athens, that 'it has a beginning and a middle but no end' could almost be applied, dramatically speaking, to Macbeth. After the middle of the play, once Macbeth has become king, our expectations have nothing left to wait for but his downfall which seems inevitable but which we neither greatly fear, through lack of sufficient sympathy for the two protagonists, nor greatly desire because Malcolm is too much a stranger to us. Despite all this, Shakespeare the master of dramatic dialogue manages to hold our attention until the very end by presenting the undramatic would have been too short for the requirements of his company in a most dramatic way, while Shakespeare the poet carries the resources of the English language to their very summit.

It may be said then that in Macbeth Shakespeare has achieved sin, worldly ruin, death and damnation. At the same time, the a superbly poetic morality play on the theme of ambition, deadly deeper spiritual interests which dominate almost all the other plays of his maturity appear to have lent some of the speeches

MACBETH

9

spectator is drawn into the character of the hero and held there it must be admitted that Shakespeare has failed here where he has wonderfully succeeded in other plays written about the same line. In Hamlet, Othello and King Lear, for example, the until the end, whereas in Macbeth the spectator remains spectator throughout. His attention is gripped, but it relinquishes pracwhat might be called a purgatorial slant. But, if the play as a a mystical, purgatorial theme which presupposes, in order to produce its effect, that the spectator is captured subjectively, then whole was intended to have, in addition to its outer meaning, ucally nothing of its initial objectivity.

Measure, as well as in King Lear and other later plays. This meaning, which may be called macrocosmic, corresponds to the The absence of any effective representation of the path of the mystics in this play and the limiting of its microcosmic significance to the exoteric plane brings into greater prominence a meaning which has been mentioned but not yet illustrated, although we are conscious of it in Hamlet and Measure for significance of a cathedral not as an image of the human being but as an image of the whole world.

of the repeated backslidings of mankind which at a certain therefore precarious piety, it was inconceiveable to them that anything short of perfection should have come directly from the doctrine of the Golden, Silver, Bronze and Iron Ages served to time which was already inescapably implicit in the very nature in earthly things as the shadows of spiritual realities. Beginning evolutionism and progressism only became possible after religious faiths had weakened beyond a certain degree, and that degree In the world of Shakespeare—'the world of Plato and St. Augustine'-spiritual logic made it inconceiveable that primordial man of God', which is very different from a vague, unintelligent and hand of the Creator. The Book of Genesis and the Greco-Roman fill in certain details of a general conception of the rhythms of of things, that is, in man as the image of God and, more generally, with primordial perfection, history was conceived as a record In order to appreciate this meaning we must remember that had not nearly been reached by the end of the XVIth century. should not have been perfect. So long as men retained 'a sense

1 For a fuller treatment of this question, see my Ancient Beliefs and Modern Superstitions, ch. II (Perennial Books, London, 1965).

a retribution followed by a restoration of order. Moreover Shakespeare and his contemporaries naturally expected the rhythm of the past to continue in the future. It still seemed to that things were too bad for the second coming of Christ to be almost everyone, as it had done throughout the Middle Ages, point were always suddenly cliecked by Divine Interventionvery far off. The words Something is rotten in the state of case when they said: "Thy Kingdom come on earth!" they did not look for a gradual upward movement. If the sudden retrihappened and if so and so were not the Antichrist, then things Denmark would have found an echo in every heart. But in any bution were not immediately at hand, if the worst had not yet would have to go on growing worse and worse, as had been predicted, until finally they did reach their lowest point with the real Antichrist. Then, suddenly, the true Christ would come, the guilty would be destroyed and the remaining few would live on into the Millenium.

They saw how the world goes: a state of harmony, a fatal step of error or sin, growing discord, the passage from bad to worse, more or less sudden retribution, and restoration of harmony. This was the rhythm that they had seen in the miracle plays and that they now saw in Hamlet and King Lear—to name only two of those mirrors which Shakespeare holds up to the great cycle of time. The cosmic rhythm of King Lear is explicitly affirmed when. Kent cries out at the terrible events in the last scene:

Is this the promis'd end?

And Gloster has already said, with reference to the aged and demented King:

O ruin'd piece of nature! This great world Shall so wear out to naught. (Iv, 6).

There is also something unmistakeably Messianic in Edgar's appearance at the third sound of the trumpet to challenge Edmund.

Macbeth is no anomaly as regards its macrocosmic significance.

A significant and not very reassuring difference between then and now is that then a criticism of the times—be it XIIIth, XIVth, XVth or XVIth century—was likely to be answered by a unanimous chorus of agreement. Now, if anyone ventures to criticise the XXth century, except by comparison

with the XXIst, he is liable to be met with a chorus of protest!

The plot, in its main outlines, is in fact very similar to the plot of Hamlet. A good king is secretly murdered by a kinsman; the nurderer succeeds in making himself king; he plunges further and further into guilt; things grow worse and worse; and finally the usurper is killed and the country enters upon another state of harmony under a new king.

In Macbeth the reign of King Duncan, like the reign of King Hamlet, corresponds to the Golden Age. The reign of Malcolm, like the reign of Fortinbras, corresponds to the Millennium.

King Lear

King Lear has much in common with both Hamlet and Othello. As regards this last play, it is true that in King Lear the love theme concerns parent and child, whereas in Othello is concerns husband and wife; but the symbolism is unaffected by the difference. Lear and the Moor represent 'Everyman', the human soul, and Cordelia and Desdemona represent the Spirit. In both plays 'the pearl of great price' is thrown away; and in King Lear this is echoed in the sub-plot by Gloster's 'throwing away' of Edgar.

From a macrocosmic point of view, that is, considering the play as an image of the history of mankind, Lear's throwing away 'the pearl' represents the Fall. He is not banished from Paradise, which in this play is symbolized by the presence of Cordelia, but he himself banishes Paradise, which amounts to the same. Britain is no longer man's home but his exile. As Kent says:

Freedom lies hence and banishment is here.

1

And this inversion of the natural order of things is repeatedly indicated in various ways during the first scenes of the play, as when Kent exclaims ironically:

Kill thy physician and the fee bestow Upon the foul disease! (ibid.)

or when the Fool says:

Truth's a dog must to kennel; he must be whipped out when Lady the brach may stand by the fire and stink. (1, 4).

It is significant that Cordelia is Lear's third daughter. Like the apex of a triangle, the celestial number three symbolizes the resolution of oppositions (these being represented geometrically by the

drama serves as a vast and shadowy backcloth for the story of humble servant, the other as a lunatic beggar. If the opening scene stands for the Fall, the final events are an image, as we have seen, of the promised end, so that the period of the play may be said to span the whole cycle of time. This macrocosmic wo lower corners of the triangle) into a transcendent harmony. Lear has banished harmony and left his kingdom at the mercy of discord, represented by Coneril and Regan. By exchanging three that is, the dual wisdom of the Tree of the Knowledge of Good The banishment of Cordelia is inevitably and quickly followed up by the banishment of Kent and Edgar. Of those characters who may be considered as prolongations of Cordelia, that is, of spiritual wisdom, only the Fool is allowed to remain. He alone of them can fit into the new order because, although truly wise, he is wisdom masquerading as folly. Kent and Edgar can only return to the scene on similar conditions, the one disguised as a or two he has exchanged spiritual wisdom for worldly wisdom, and Evil. The order of the day is henceforth worldly wisdom. a single individual soul.

The theme of King Lear as a drama of the microcosm is summed up in the following words from the King's speech:

Tis our fast intent

To shake all cares and business from our age, Conferring them on younger strengths, while we Unburthen'd crawl toward death.

These words mark the outset of his journey towards death, a journey which is to be soul-searchingly different from anything that he had imagined. In The True Chronicle History of King Leir which must have been, more than anything else, the source of Shakespeare's plot, the King speaks more humbly:

The world of me, I of the world am weary And I would fain resign these earthly cares And think upon the welfare of my soul.

Edgar is more nearly equal to Cordelia than the others are. In the sub-plot he is in fact her equivalent; and in the play as a whole, if she represents the transcendence of the Spirit, he may be said to stand for its innanence in this world. In Measure for Measure the Duke disguised corresponds to Edgar, the Duke as duke to Cordelia.

Shakespeare's Lear has too good an opinion of himself to express himself quite in these terms. His intention is indeed the same, that is, to be perfectly ready for death when death comes. Of that there can be no doubt, for Lear is deeply conscientious. But he clearly imagines that he has already more or less reached the necessary state. After so good and successful a life, what remains to be achieved between now and death? Surely it will be an easy, resposeful and agreeable passage, in the kind nursery of his favourite daughter Cordelia.

The first scene is a demonstration of his extreme unreadiness to die. From this microcosmic point of view the opening events do not represent the Fall itself but are as it were a re-enactment of the Fall. In other words, they serve as a sharp reminder of the fallenness of fallen man, and as such they mark the first step on the road to wisdom from out of a morass of blindness and indiscrimination in which Lear has long been stagnating. His fateful act at least serves to bring out into the open many of his faults, and although he does not recognize them as faults at the time he is able to do so later in retrospect. Regan speaks the truth for once when she says:

He hath ever but slenderly known himself. (1, 1).

His previous life, before the play begins, has been a life of blindness as regards others also. He is quite ignorant of the true nature of his two elder daughters: and here again the sub-plot echoes the main plot, for Gloster is equally blind to the nature of his devilish bastard son. Edmund, even to the point of not seeing his inferiority to Edgar. Lear does at least prefer Cordelia to her sisters:

I loved her most and thought to set my rest On her kind nursery. (1, 1).

None the less, considering what Cordelia stands for, the very roots of all Lear's shortcomings may be said to lie in the super-ficiality of his love for her and his failure to rate her at her true worth. The Moor's love for Desdemona is lacking in light but not in wantilt, whereas Lear's love for Cordelia is lacking in both. The light and the wantith are there, buried in the depth of the aged king's nature, and he discovers their later; but at

the beginning of the play he both sees too little of Cordelia's inestimable value—

KING LEAR

this unprized precious maid,

as the King of France describes her—and feels too little a wrench in letting her go.

Cordelia, Edgar, Kent and the Fool are the embodiment of all goodness. Albany also has the same perfection, but it is not given the opportunity of manifesting itself until the play is drawing towards its end. The vices are personified by Goneril, Regan, Edmund and Cornwall. The devil is not represented by any one of these characters more than another, but his presence is felt in each of them as the root of all evil—a root which is often near to being laid bare. Between the two groups of uncompromising opposites stand the King and Gloster.

In King Lear as in Hamlet Hell and Purgatory are treated simultaneously which means that the discovery of hidden faults and the transformation of those faults into virtues go side by side. Lear's and Gloster's characters develop throughout the play. The virtues of spiritual poverty, humility, temperance, fidelity, love, kindness, discrimination and truth are developed against a background of worldly ambition, pride, anger, treachery, hatred, cruelty, blindness and untruth.

The descent into Hell is represented mainly in three different ways. Lear's discovery of the hitherto unsuspected faults of Goneril and Regan is like a mirror to reflect the discovery of the lower possibilities that lie hidden in his own soul; and in a sense Goneril and Regan are part of him. He says to Goneril:

But yet thou are my slesh, my blood, my daughter;
Or rather a disease that's in my slesh,
Which I must needs call mine: thou art a boil,
A plague-sore, an embossed carbuncle,
In my corrupted blood. (11, 4).

Secondly, as also in *Hamlet*, the state of the country reflects the soul of Everyman. In virtue of his kingship Lear is Britain; and he admits his responsibility in the words:

O, I have ta'en too little care of this. (11, 1).

Regan the following speech of Lear from the storm scene may be quoted:

Find out their enemies now. Tremble, thou wretch, That keep this dreadful pudder o'er our heads Unwhipp'd of justice; . . . close pent-up guilts, That hast within thee undivulged crimes, These dreadful summoners grace. (111, 2). Let the great gods Rive your concealing continents and cry

Thirdly, Hell itself is as it were churned up on to the stage by Edgar. When he accuses himself of having been

sloth, fox in stealth, wolf in greediness, dog in madness, salse of heart, light of ear, bloody of hand, hog in (111, 4), lion in prey

it is not quite the same as when Hamlet says:

I could accuse me of such things that it were better my mother had not born me. (111, 1). In both cases the words are intended to throw light on the hidden to the speaker, whereas Edgar is not really accusing himself but be said to supply the 'scenery', the stage setting, for Lear's evil in the soul of Everyman. But Hamlet's words refer directly holding out a mirror for Lear to look into. In fact Edgar may descent into Hell. His ravings are equivalent to a procession of deadly sins and also a procession of devils, as he traces the human surfaces of evil to their infernal roots. Translated from the language of wildness to that of solvricty, the truth that he preaches without respite in the storm scene is that the state of fallen man is the state of being possessed, in some degree or other, by the foul fiend, the prince of darkness. In other words, as the Ghost in Hamlel puts it:

The serpent that did sting thy father's life Now wears his crown. (1, 5)

KING LEAR

9

human initiative but above all the devil that had led him to the top of the cliff and tempted him to commit suicide, and that it Later Edgar 'demonstrates' to his father that it was not merely was the grace of a divine intervention that had saved him:

Of men's impossibilities have preserved thee. (1v, 6). Think that the clearest gods who make them honours

dent and self-sufficient, and to show that his soul is largely a battleground for the forces of Heaven and Hell. We are especially It is Edgar's function to dispel the illusion that man is indepenreminded here, by contrast, of Iago's:

Virtue? A fig. Tis in ourselves that we are thus

a sentiment which Edmund would certainly have endorsed. Edgar is the very opposite of his humanist brother.

Understanding of the nature of evil implies purification from evil, and Edgar is not only a guide for the descent into Hell but also, much more, a guide for the ascent of Purgatory. His occupation is, as he says:

To prevent the foul fiend and to kill vermin

and to outwit the devil as regards such psychic substance as that is, to kill those things in the soul that are purely negative can be salvaged and transformed. The inextricable interpenetration of Hell and Purgatory is reflected not only in Edgar but also in the storm, which both voices the anger of Heaven and purifies by the elements.

he ascends up the mountain of Purgatory. But the ascent is gradual; he is slow to see any parallel between Goneril's and Regan's treatment of him and his treatment of Cordelia. There is one moment, just as he is setting out from Goneril's house when he starts a sentence, half speaking to himself, with the words I did her wrong, (1, 5) which would seem to refer to Cordelia. But he evidently stilles any regrets that may have risen up in him, and goes on almost immediately to speak of himself The deeper Lear descends into the abyss of Hell, the higher

In this sense, but in this sense alone, Edgar corresponds to the Porter in

as so kind a father; and even so late as the storm scene when, in an already quoted speech, he invokes the Gods:

Find out your enemies now

and adds:

That hast within thee undivulged crimes Tremble, thou wretch,

he ends with the words of injured innocence:

More sinn'd against than sinning. (111, 2). I am a man

None the less, this scene marks a milestone on his journey. As Kent has said of the world in its worldiness, as represented by

Freedom lies hence and banishment is here;

Lear, which means that he is virtually set free from the numerous worldly ties with which his soul was trussed. He had come to and now the world, which itself is banishment, has banished be altogether wrapped up in himself. His extreme subjectivity now begins to unfold beneath the humbling and universalizing power of the storm into an outlook that is more objective. When Kent suggests that he take shelter in a nearby hovel-which unknown to them is Edgar's hovel and therefore, symbolically, a palace of wisdom-Lear turns to the rain-drenched and shiverng Fool and says:

Come on, my boy. How dost, my boy? Art cold? . . . Poor fool and knave, I have one part in my heart That's sorry yet for thee. And when they reach the hovel and Kent begs him to enter, the Your loop'd and window'd raggedness, defend you How shall your houseless heads and unfed sides, Poor naked wretches, wheresoc'ocr you are, From seasons such as these? O! I have ta'en That bide the pelting of this pitiless storm Too little care of this.

KING LEAR

But the effect of the storm on Lear is perhaps brought home to us more intimately in a later scene when he says in retrospect, giving us a glimpse of the latter part of his life, the years which led up to the opening scene of the play:

When the rain came to wet me once and the wind to make me chatter, when the thunder would not beace at my bidding, there I found 'em, there I smelt 'em told me I was everything: 'tis a lie, I am not arguethere. To say 'ay' and 'no' to every thing I said! ... out. Go to, they are not men of their words: they white hairs in my beard ere the black ones were They stattered me like a dog, and told me I had proof. (IV, 6). The freedom gained by banishment from the world is personified by Edgar in the extremity of his destitution. As Lear says to

sophisticated; thou art the thing itself; unaccommodated man sheep no wool, the cat no perfume. Ha! here's three on's are is no more but such a poor bare, forked animal as thou art. Thou owest the worm no silk, the beast no hide, the Off, off, you lendings! (III, 4).

fection is conceived as a return to that primordial state. This aspect of human perfection loomed very large on Shakespeare's dominates almost the entire world. It would not be too much partly in his great reverence for virgin nature which is seen quote here 'Blessed are the poor in spirit', but this beatitude spiritual poverty, that is detachment from worldly things, was a perfection; and in all mysticisms the regaining of human perhorizon. He was altogether exempt from that superstitious respect for civilization-'sophistication', as Lear calls it-which has more or less dominated the West since his time and which now to say that he was haunted by the Golden Age. This comes out explicitly in As You Like It, Cymbeline and The Tempest and and he begins to tear off his own clothes. Shakespeare cannot was no doubt in his mind. Tradition the world over teaches that pontaneous and outstanding attribute of man in his original implicitly in many passages from other plays and in particular KING LEAR

from King Lear; but it comes out above all in the fact that his deal is always no less than the primordial ideal. In other words, his hero is not merely priest and not merely king, but the priest-Apart from those characters who personify this ideal from the king who alone is the true and rightful lord of virgin nature. outset-the banished Duke, for example, in As You Like II, Belarius in Cymbeline, Prospero in The Tempest and, without the primordial setting, the Duke of Vicuna in Measure for Measure and Duncan, Malcolm and the briefly mentioned Edward the Confessor in Macbetli-the development which takes place in Hamlet's character might be summed up by saying that he has to realize fully the priest kingship of which we are conscious as a virtuality in his nature from the very start. Might it not also be said that what is needed to make Othello perfect is the addition of a priestly element to his outstanding royalty of the King which makes him sense the hidden bond between himself and Edgar-his philosopher as he calls him; and his of nature? As to King Lear, it is clearly the unfolding priesthood wits begin to turn as if by spiritual contagion, as if he had 'caught' madness from Edgar.

Lear's madness is exactly parallel to Gloster's blindness, and Gloster's remark:

I stumbled when I saw

can be applied to Lear as much as to say that he blundered when he was sane. For just as Gloster's blindness marks the beginning of his path "to true insight (it is at the moment of being blinded that he learns how he has been deceived by Edmund), so the turning of Lear's wits marks the unlocking of a door that opens onto wisdom. The difference between Edgar's "madness" and Lear's madness only concerns the literal meaning of the play. Symbolically both represent turning one's back on worldly wisdom and embracing spiritual wisdom. The King's attitude towards Edgar is at first that of a novice towards an adept; but in his later mad scene, just before he is discovered

Thy royal father Was a most sainted king; the queen that bore thee Ottener upon her knees than on her feet, Died every day she lived.

(1v, 3).

by the search party sent out by Cordelia, he is no longer a novice and is able, like Edgar, to preach to Gloster:

Thou must be patient. We came crying hither: Thou knows't the first time that we smell the air We waul and cry. I will preach to thee; mark: When we are born, we cry that we are come To this great stage of fools. (IV, 6).

The re-entry of Cordelia means a reversion to the normal order of things. So long as she was still present, in the first scene of the play, Lear's lack of wisdom appeared like the folly that it was. Kent had said:

Be Kent unmannerly When Lear is mad... To plainness honour's bound When majesty stoops to folly.

It is therefore quite consequent that when Lear is once more in Cordelia's presence, his new-found wisdom should show as wisdom, not as folly, and that on being reunited with her he should recover his sanity. But at the moment of change from madness to sanity when Lear opens his eyes and sees Cordelia for the first time since their separation, Shakespeare takes advantage of the King's bewilderment in order to express directly the deeper meaning of this encounter which is no less than a heavenly visitation to a soul in Purgatory. Cordelia is, in virtue of what she symbolizes, definitely not of this world. The King says to her:

Thou art a soul in bliss; but I am bound Upon a wheel of fire, that mine own tears Do scald like molten lead ...

You are a spirit, I know. (IV, 7).

Moreover Lear is echoing here something of what the audience themselves have already felt when they for their part first see Cordelia again after so long and terrible an interval. Her sudden appearance when she enters as Queen of France and sends out soldiers to search the countryside for her father is for us also as though a piece of Heaven had descended to carth. This is a striking example, though not the only example, of Shakespeare's ability to achieve an overwhelming impact without the aid of words. We have not seen Cordelia since the first scene of the play. Meantime the vices of Goneril and Regan have been dug

In praise of Duncan and his wife, Macduff says to their son Malcolm:

down to their hellish roots, and this has prompted us, if only subconsciously, to go through the opposite process with regard to Cordelia's virtues. As a result the contrast between the two elder sisters and the third sister has become so tremendous that this unexpected and almost unhoped for return is indescribably moving.

Lear has first of all had the Fool for guide; then he is passed on from him to Edgar, and then from Edgar to Cordelia who, when her father's Purgatory is almost at an end, comes to give him a foretaste of Paradise and with it a foretaste of human perfection. That perfection, compounded of humility, love and wisdom, with the stress, as regards this last virtue, on discrimination, detachment and contemplative objectivity, the opposite of Lear's former undiscriminating, undetached and feverish subjectivity, comes to flower in his speech to Cordelia after they have lost the battle:

Come, let's away to prison;
We two alone will sing like birds i' the cage:
When thou dost ask me blessing, I'll kneel down,
And pray, and sing, and tell old tales, and laugh
At gilded butterflies, and hear poor rogues
Talk of court news; and we'll talk with them too
Who loses and who wins; who's in, who's out.
And take upon's the mystery of things
As if we were God's spies; and we'll wear out
In a wall'd prison, packs and sects of great ones
That ebb and flow by the morn. (v, 3).

It is the fully grown priest-king who then expressed his debt to Cordelia in the words:

Upon such sacrifices, my Cordelia, The gods themselves throw incense.

Nor is it possible that Shakespeare has not in mind here, though he has to disguise his thought by the plural 'gods', the sacrifice which is, for the Western world, the very archetype of all sacrifice. The parallel is not remote, for in the first scene the King of France had said to Cordelia:

Thou losest here a better where to find,

and her sacrifice is that she has left that 'better where' for an incomparably 'worse where' in order that a man—who stands here for Everyman—might be saved.

In Cordelia the veil of humanity which hides the Spirit is almost transparent. She is not only incorruptible but also undeceiveable.

I know you what you are;
And like a sister am most loth to call
Your faults as they are nam'd,

she says to her sisters in the first scene. She is also, in a sense, unassailable, like an impregnable fortress. While living in the world she has a hermit's detachment from it. One of her most significant remarks is the one which leads up to Lear's words about sacrifice. She says, when he begs her not to weep:

For thee oppressed king I am cast down.
Myself could else outfrown false fortune's frown.

The meaning of this second line is: As far as I myself am concerned, having passed judgement upon this world as the domain of ever-changing, fickle unreliable fortune, I am always ready to frown on it, for what it is in general, with a frown far more severe than could be merited by any frown it could give me in the form of some particular piece of ill fortune.

that the old and broken Lear cannot represent the soul in its when once he is dead, cannot get up and say: 'I now know that older play? The deepest reason of all is no doubt what is called Another more obvious reason, also deep yet easier to analyse, is immortal union with the Spirit. Lear must therefore die into life, and where he is, there must Cordelia be. His reunion with her before the battle is only a foretaste; but in it there is, as we have seen, a hint of the true nature of the union as it will be when it is complete, for at first he thinks that they are both dead and that Cordelia is a blessed spirit; and at the end there is the strongest possible suggestion of the life after death. In a play like King Lear the dead cannot be made to speak. The King, life is death and death is life. But when he is on the very threshold of death-so near to being across that threshold that Why does Shakespeare deliberately make Lear and Cordelia die, whereas Leir and Cordella are left alive at the end of the poetic justice, which is partly the theme of a later chapter.

we can take what he says as news of the next world rather than of this—he tells us, almost in so many words, that Gordelia is alive. He had already said, before he was quite certain of her death, that if she be alive:

It is a chance which does redeem all sorrows That ever I have felt. But then he saw for certain. beyond any possible doubt, that she was dead:

No. no, no life!
Why should a dog. a horse, a rat have life,
And thou no breath at all? Thou'll come no more,
Never, never, never, never.

Yet now, with his last breath, he says:

Do you see this? Look on her, look, her lips, Look there, look there! Bradley took these last words as an indication that Lear's actual death was due to the sudden joy of thinking that Cordelia was alive. I agree with him in being certain that these words can mean nothing other than that Cordelia is alive and that Lear dies in a state of bliss. But all things considered, is it not more likely that the chain of causality is the other way round? It was not because he saw (or thought he saw) that Cordelia was alive that he died; it was because he was dead (or as good as dead) that he saw she was alive—alive not with this life, but with the life after death.

CHAPTER IX

Antony and Cleopatra

In three of his tragedics, Romeo and Juliet, Othello, and Antony and Cleopatra, Shakespeare combines the symbolism of marriage with the symbolism of death. In none of these three plays is the marriage really complete before death. In Antony and Cleopatra it is the nuptial bond itself that is lacking. Cleopatra, about to die, addresses the dead Antony with the words:

Husband, I come. Now to that name my courage prove my title!

In Romeo and Juliet, as in Othello, the lovers are in fact husband and wife, but they are allowed no peace and security together this side of the grave. Romeo and Juliet are married in secret and after one night together—a night spent in fear of discovery—they are separated. The rebirth and fulfilment of marriage after death are suggested by Romeo's dream of which he tells us just before the news comes to him of Juliet's death:

I dreamt my lady came and found me dead;—
Strange dream, that gives a dead man leave to think—
And breath'd such life with kisses in my lips,
That I reviv'd, and was an emperor. (v, 1).

The union is further suggested by their being buried together in one tomb, as are Antony and Cleopatra.

One of the differences between Othello and the other two tragedies is implicit in the singleness of the title. We have here the story of the Moor, not of his wife. He as Everyman represents the soul and she, perfect from the outset and having to undergo no process of development, represents the Spirit. There can be no question here of reversing the symbolism, whereas in Antony

1 Let my courage, in dying for your sake, prove that I have a right to call

detail, each of the lovers has a double aspect, according to whether the play be considered as the story of Antony or the figure, then it is she who represents the soul, while Antony, and Cleopatra, as we shall see on examining the text in more story of Cleopatra. If Cleopatra be taken as the more central apotheosized after his death, symbolizes the Spirit, whereas for Antony as Everyman, the Spirit is symbolized by the Queen of

The following quotation may help us to an answer, and it is less But can the same be said, analogously, of Romeo and Julier? of a digression than it might at first seem:

ticism. . . . The starting point of mysticism is that the soul has alienated itself from God through turning itself towards the "The marriage of sulphur and quicksilver, sun and moon, king and queen, is alchemy's central symbol, and in the light of its meaning we can clearly distinguish between alchemy and mysworld and that it must be reunited with Him. . . . Alchemy on the other hand takes the standpoint that through the loss of his primordial 'Adamic' state, man is rent with inward discord, and can only regain his full being when the two powers whose strife has robbed him of his strength have been reconciled with each other. Human nature's inward dividedness, which has become as it were organic, is moreover a result of its having fallen away from God, inasmuch as it was the Fall which sirst made Adam and Eve aware of their opposition and thrust them out into the vicious circle of generation and death. Conversely man's winning back of his full nature, which alchemy expresses through the image of the male-female Hermaphrodite, is a necessary prelude to union with God though it may also be considered from another point of view as a fruit of that union....

which is none other than the mystical marriage. Thus the two The marriage of the soul's masculine and feminine forces ultimately opens out onto the marriage of Spirit and soul . . . states overlap: the realization of psychic plenitude leads to the correspondingly more than one meaning: the sun and the moon soul's giving itself to the Spirit, and the alchemical symbols have, on denote the two powers of the soul which are termed sulphur and quicksdrer; at the same time they are images of the Spirit and the soul . . .

Closely connected with the symbolism of marriage is the

ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA

wedding and buried together, thence to rise up rejuvenated." symbolism of death: according to some representations of the 'chemical marriage' the king and queen are killed at their

strong case for replying that it is alchemical, the more so in that had come down to us, and if in the light of the above quotation we were called upon to answer the question: 'Is the symbolism of Romeo and Juliet mystical or alchemical?, there would be a the two lovers are as it were transmuted into gold after their If Romeo and Juliet were the only play of Shakespeare's that deaths, for Romeo's father says:

I will raise her statue in pure gold

and Juliet's father replies:

As rich shall Romeo by his lady lie.

and Othello. But a closer examination of the text shows that his is here without doubt a symbol of the Spirit which each of the lovers represents for the other, as in Antony and Cleopatra, and doubt that the symbolism of the maturer plays is mystical, love this higher symbolism is to be found in the presence of Juno and Ceres, representing Heaven and earth, that is, Spirit and Needless to say, Shakespeare could have changed his perspective in the approximately ten year interval between Romeo and Juliet ultimate outlook was already, to say the least, well on its way to being formed when he wrote the earlier play, and the metal gold seem to be adequately represented by the enmity between the houses of Montagu and Capulet, an enmity which is at the end transformed into friendship. On the other hand there can be no having here a higher significance expressive of the relationship between soul and Spirit; and apart from what has already been said about Othello and King Lear, a clear indication of soul, at the betrothal of Ferdinand and Miranda in The Tempest. Morcover the strife between the two powers of the soul would which each, through death, has now actually become.

ship between the two lovers. Symbolism is not arbitrary, but is hased on the very nature of things, on the make up of the There is nothing strange or forced in this reversible relation-

2 Titus Burckhardt, Alchemie, pp. 166, 172-173 (Walter-Verlag, Olten und Freiburg, 1960).

doctrines, whether Eastern or Western, earthly phenomena are nothing other than the shadows or reflections of spiritual realities. in the same way that a reflection or shadow can give us a fleeting glimpse of the object that casts it; and the best symbols-the only ones worthy to be used in sacred art-are those things reflections, the sharpest shadows, of the higher reality which is According to all cosmological and metaphysical The symbolism of a thing is its power to recall its higher reality. which are most perfect of their kind, for they are the clearest their archetype. One of the chief applications of this doctrine to mysticism is that every object of love is a symbol of the Divine Beauty of the Spirit and therefore has power to recall something of that Beauty. This explains why in all love worthy of the name there is always an element of worship. Love has always a double aspect: the beloved is loved for himself or herself and, beyond that, for the sake of the Reality in whose image man was created.

For Romeo Juliet is the sun:' at their first encounter her hand

introduce a slight suggestion of worship even into Desdemona's love for Othello and Miranda's love for Ferdinand, although these two heroines both That is why, in order to convince us of true love, Shakespeare has to symbolize irreversibly the Spirit in their respective plays.

If the symbolism were strictly alchemical, Juliet would correspond to the

be altogether excluded here, except as regards Shakespeare's immediate intention, for a symbol cannot be limited to one level only. Marriage is a symbol of all the complementary pairs which lie above it, whether the two moon and Ronco to the sun. None the less the 'alchemical marriage' cannot it is as if he had set free a bind to fly in a certain direction without his being able to limit the extent of its flight, and that independently of his intention terms of the pair are on the same level as in the case of the 'alchemical marriage', or one above the other as in the case of the mystical marriage of Spirit and soul; and although Shakespeare has this 'vertical' symbolism directly in mind, he would have known that when an artist uses a symbol marriage necessarily symbolizes also. below its mystical significance, the have known that above all, beyond the union of soul and Spirit, marriage is a symbol of the inseparable union of two complementary Qualities or Aspects of the Divinity Itself, for the Divine Beatitude, which is nothing other than God's Love for Himself, is the Supreme Archetype of all the complementary pairs in existence, just as each single thing has its Supreme Archetype in a single Divine Quality. In other words, a symbol is fraught perfect union of the active and passive aspects of the soul; and he would cussions the intuition may rise up through a series of higher realities in the with repercussions for the soul of man, and on the 'wings' of these reperdirection of Absolute Reality, and it is precisely because symbols are the language of sacred art that a work of this art has different meanings at different levels.

that part of a cathedral which according to Masonic symbolism is a holy shrine, she a saint and he a pilgrim; and at the end the presence of her body transforms the burial vault to a lantern, corresponds to Heaven and the Spirit. For Juliet, Romeo is the ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA god of her idolatry.

by the device of the false news which Romeo receives of Juliet's death and which Antony receives of Cleopatra's; and like the In addition to its general symbolism, and despite the great outward differences, Romeo and Juliet has also some important details in common with Antony and Cleopatra. Each of the four lovers is called upon eventually to face the fact that the beloved can only be reached through the 'narrow gate' of death. The difficulty of placing all four in this same situation is overcome Moor of Venice, each of the four souls dies a self-inflicted death for the sake of being united with the beloved in the next world.

law unknown to us. Moreover if we recall the words of Edgar, 'ripeness is all', Shakespeare makes us feel that Othello, like thinks about suicide he is not 'ripe', neither is Gloster when he against destiny, but in the case of Othello we know that it is Antony and Cleopatra, is 'ripe' for death, that he has fully completed the course of his little earthly cycle, whereas when Hamlet actually attempts it. In their case suicide would have been a revolt is considered in a purely literal sense. But where death is symbolic expresses most clearly the fact that the aspiring soul seeks its own death; and as regards Antony and Cleopatra, we must relegitimate but even highly meritorious according to the more ancient religious perspectives. As to Othello, although the Moor is a Christian, he stands at the very fringe of Christendom. Consequently it is not difficult for us to accept his suicide as an objective act of justice against himself in accordance with some the answer is undoubtedly 'no'. In Hamlet and King Lear suicide of the 'narrow gate' that leads to life, then of all manners of death suicide is one of the most powerfully symbolic, for it member that suicide in certain circumstances was not only But is there no inconsistency between the conception of suicide in Hamlet and King Lear as a deadly sin, and the representation of the suicides of Romeo, Juliet, Othello, Antony and Cleopatra as noble acts? As regards the last three characters,

Who can control his fate?

There is nothing here, just as there is nothing in Antony and Cleopatra, to mar the effectiveness of suicide as a perfect symbol of the mystic's fully intentioned 'dying into life'.

But in the earliest of these three plays Shakespeare has not succeeded in convincing us that either Romeo or Juliet is 'ripe' for death, nor has he succeeded in holding up the mirror to the inexorable necessity of fate. We have rather the impression of a concatenation of exasperatingly unfortunate circumstances which end in a double suicide that is more akin to rebellion than to submission; and what is already in itself incongruous is made doubly so by being set in the very heart of Christendom where it is impossible to forget that suicide is among the most deadly of sins.

It is to be suspected that Shakespeare was one of his own severest critics, and he must have been well aware of this short-coming, at any rate in his later years. However that may be, and whether or not Romeo and Juliet was actually present in his mind when he wrote Antony and Cleopatra, it cannot be denied that he is at some pains in the later play to avoid what is, precisely, the fault of the earlier one, and he certainly succeeds.

But in all justice, it must be admitted that if we had to sacrifice one of these two plays, the choice would not be obvious. Nor would our hesitation be due to any inferiority or mediocrity in Antony and Cleopara but rather to the overwhelming beauty of Romeo and Juliet as an expression of love. The perfecting of the Moor's love for Desdemona is the theme of Othello just as the Perfecting of the love of Antony and Cleopatra for each other is the theme of the play we are now considering. But in Romeo and Juliet there is no comparable development of soul, and the play is largely centred on the tragic contrast between the extreme perfection of a love and the extreme imperfection of the circumstances it is set in. Consequently Shakespeare is able to dwell throughout this carlier play on a perfection which is only reached at the end of the other two, with the result that if we simply compare the three as regards the element 'love',

it is no doubt the love between Romeo and Juliet which has, as symbol, the strongest wings for the highest flights.

inner meanings is itself symbolic, for it reflects the truth that for those who know nothing of the mysteries, the truth that the majority are by no means always right and that the ways of which is all the more derogatory for being spoken by Philo who doling on a 'gypsy' who has made him completely forget his duty as 'Triumvir, one of the three rulers of 'the world'. Philo expresses here the general unhesitating and sweeping censure of Antony's meaning which is felt in some degree or other by every member of virtue in his soul. This opposition between the outer and the mysterics can only be understood by a few, or more generally, the wrong according to the outer meaning, and they are merciconduct; and yet according to the play's deeper meaning-a meaning becomes reconciled with the inner meaning at the very end of the play when Caesar pays a certain tribute of admiration to Antony and Cleopatra after their deaths. But throughout the course of the play the hero and heroine are both very much in lessly presented as being so from the start. The opening speech, is one of Antony's friends, rings with scorn at Antony's besotted of the audience-Antony's love for Clcopatra is the richest jewel the inner world represents at the same time a man who seeks to restore the lost harmony of the outer world. But in Antony and Cleopatra according to the outer meaning it is Octavius Caesar who is in the right, so to speak, from the beginning of the play, and it is he who finally restores order in the capacity of sole sire of the world as Cleopatra calls him. This outer representing the soul that seeks to restore the lost harmony of macrocosmic meaning runs contrary to the inner one. Normally the two meanings are parallel: Hamlet, for example, while A striking feature of Antony and Cleopaira that we do not find in any other play of Shakespeare is that the outer or Heaven are sometimes inscrutable.

Let us quote here a short passage starting from line 10 of the play, at the entry of Antony and Cleopatra:

Philo (to Dercetas)

Look where they come:

Take but good note, and you shall see in him The triple pillar of the world transform'd Into a strumpet's fool: behold and see.

If it be love indeed, tell me how much. There's beggary in the love that can Cleobatra Antony

be reckon'd. I'll set a bourn how far to be beloved. Then thou must needs find out new Cleopatra 4ntony

heaven, new earth. Attendant (cutering) News, my good Lord, from Rome. Antony

Grates me: the sum.

And in the end Antony does not even listen to 'the sum' of the news, but goes off with Cleopatra.

In a sense the whole play is contained in these eight lines, at sented by Antony. Let us consider this aspect of the play first. any rate that aspect of it according to which the soul is repre-Outwardly Rome stands for duty, sobriety and morality in general; it also stands for reason, and the dialogue abounds in Egypt which spells neglect of duty, lack of sobriety, lack of moral principles and also the vanity of unreason. But this outer meaning does not constitute in any sense a morality play; it is like the thinnest of veils, which hides the truth from no one, although needless to say the truth is for the most part felt rather than analysed. What are the means by which, beneath this most transparent outside, Shakespeare contrives to weight the scales powerful arguments why Antony should leave Egypt altogetherso heavily in favour of Egypt? One of the first things that comes to Heaven and earth; and it is certainly not Rome which stands to mind is the symbolism of East and West which correspond at the celestial point of the compass. Rome is this world, and nothing but this world-a down-to-carth well-being, social stability, and material security. And as Antony says, in the sirst scene of the play:

Kingdoms are clay: our dungy earth alike Feeds beast as man: the nobleness of life Is to do thus, when such a mutual pair And such a twain can do it.

that Rome stands for is that aspect of man wherein he merely In other words the Roman Empire is a mere stretch of land. All

has the virtue of being an animal rather than a vegetable or a mineral. But the noblest aspect of life is the love that is felt between two perfectly matched lovers.

In Shakespeare's other representations of 'the pearl of great standing human virtues. But in this play the stress is on the Spirit's incomparability, the lack of any common measure it is the function of Egypt to convey to us something of the next world's elusive mysteriousness that passes human comprehension, its infinite riches, its marvellous variety and its boundless freedom. Everything that Egypt stands for is personified by Cleopatra. Moreover as Queen of Egypt she is virtually divine and we are ack of spiritual intoxication. Conversely, the 'vices' of Egypt amount to a breaking down of the barriers of human limitations. price; the Divine Qualities of the Spirit are symbolized by outsion; Roman morality is a system of ethics made to fit the between this world and the next; and as a symbol of the celestial, no more than human limitations: Roman rationality is human intelligence deprived of its supra-rational, superhuman dimenshortcomings of that intelligence; Roman sobriety is a dismal In the light of what the East stands for, Roman 'virtues' are old that it was her practice to give audience

(III, 6). In the habiliments of the goddess Isis.

superficial judgement that will attribute faults to her. There is From the point of view we are taking here it is only a glib and an elusive infallibility about her, a mysterious overall 'rightness' which transcends human rightness and defies criticism. Antony expresses this when he says:

Whom everything becomes—to chide, to laugh. Fie, wrangling queen! <u>;</u> And when it seems that Antony, newly betrothed to Octavia, has finished with Cleopatra, and when Mæcenas says:

Now Antony must leave her utterly

It annoys me: give me a brief summary of it and no more.

Never, he will not:

Become themselves in her, that the holy priests The appetites they feed: but she makes hungry Where most she satisfies; for vildest things Age cannot wither her, nor custom stale Her infinite variety: other women cloy Bless her when she is riggish.

bursts out beyond the bounds which limit the sphere of an all monarchs, but what is more or less virtual in other kings There is also a cosmic, 'collective' quality about Cleopatra which ordinary single human individual, so that she is in some respects more a macrocosni than a microcosm. This is true in a sense of and queens is actualized in Cleopatra to an outstanding degree. With her it is not merely a question of function. We are made to feel that her very psychic substance is macrocosmic. As Enobarbus says of her:

We cannot call her winds and waters sighs and lears; they are greater storms and tempests than almanacs can report. (1, 2).

Rich in implication also is Cleopatra's own remark when she is chided for unjustly striking the messenger who brings her the news of Antony's marriage:

Some innocents scape not the thunderbolt.

Another aspect of this same quality is to be seen in the grandeur of her lavishness-which Rome would call extravagance -as when, on being asked why she sends so many messengers to Antony she replies:

Shall die a beggar. Ink and paper, Charmian. He shall have every day a several greeting, Who's born that day When I forget to send to Antony Or I'll unpeople Egypt. (1, 5).

These illustrations of aspects of the 'supernatural' in Cleopatra's nature are not all taken from the first scenes of the play. But the very concentrated first scene of all is quite enough to give us our orientation—in the literal sense of the word.

ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA

Consequently no member of the audience is misled when in the second scene Antony says:

Ten thousand harms, more than the ills I know, I must from this enchanting queen break off: These strong Egyptian fetters I must break, Or lose myself in dotage ... My idleness doth hatch.

worldly ruin. Perhaps the most significant milestone in this development is when, for no logically justifiable cause, he and that he is expressing a kind of worldly escapism from his higher destiny: As far as he is concerned the basic theme of this play, his Purgatory, is the perfecting of his devotion to Cleopatra. For this love to become whole-hearted he needs to extricate his by any marked psychic development but simply by his gradual suddenly follows Cleopatra in flight from the Battle of Actium; the event is described by Scarus, one of Antony's more devoted soul from worldly ties and to purge it from the dross of 'Roman thoughts'. Apart from this his spiritual path cannot be traced We know that despite his logic Antony is here 'in the wrong'

Experience, manhood, honour, ne'er besore With very ignorance; we have kissed away The greatest cantle of the world is lost I never saw an action of such shame; Did violate so itself. (III, 10). Kingdoms and provinces.

Antony's blundering; if we can say of Lear 'the madder the wiser', we can say of Antony 'the more he fails the more he succeeds' or 'the weaker the stronger' or 'the poorer the richer'; and this Antony himself confirms when he says, after the battle, that one of Cleopatra's tears alone is worth all that he has lost. There is symbolically an analogy between Lear's madness and

Antony's being purged of 'Roman thoughts' proceeds to the outward accompaniment of his being deserted by Roman after Roman-Canidius, Enobarbus, and others. This spiritual death In this play the descent into Hell is merely implicit in the ascent through

-being stripped of all worldly powers and possessions-is not without its death agonies; as Charmian says:

The soul and body rive not more in parting Than greatness going off. (1v, 13).

Let me lodge Lichas on the horns of the moon; In the most violent of these agonies Antony cries out: The shirt of Nessus' is upon me: teach me, Alcides, thou mine ancestor, thy rage:

To the young Roman boy she has sold me, and I fall Subdue my worthiest self. The witch shall die: Under this plot; she dies for 't. (1v, 12).

And with those hands, that grasped the heaviest

It is natural that the dying soul should have its reaction against that which is killing it. The 'witch' in question, Cleopatra, that she has not betrayed him. This brings us to another aspect is in fact the cause of Antony's worldly failure. None the less, his particular accusation is unjust, for there can be no doubt of Antony's short-coming: one of the slaws in his devotion to Cleopatra is that like Othello he does not love wisely enough. He does not know Cleopatra as well as he should.

Not know me yet? (111, 13),

The centaur Nessus sent Hercules (Alcides), Antony's ancestor, a poisoned shirt. Hercules, dying in agony, hurled Lichas, who had brought the shirt, up to the sky, and then put an end to his own life. Although outwardly the cause is quite different, Hamlet's outburst against Lacrtes at the burial of agony, and corresponds exactly to this outburst of Antony in that it marks the same point upon the spiritual path. Hamlet, like Antony, realizes that Ophelia (see p. 38, note), his last show of weakness, is also a kind of death he has been utterly stripped of this world; and both outbursts have much in common as regards what might be called the magnificent extravagance of their imagery. Hamlet 'rants' (to use his own word);

Woul't weep? woul't fight? woul't fast? woul't tear thyself? Make up my sum.-What will thou do for her? . . . And, if they frute of mountains let them thou Singerng his fate against the burning zone, Could not, with all their quantity of love, I'll do't.-Don' thou come here to whine? To outlace me with leifung in her grave? I loved Ophelia; forty thousand brothers Be buried quick with her, and so will I; Woul't drink up eisely eat a crocodile? Milions of acres on us, till our ground,

ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA

the complains when, not for the last time, he loses faith in her knowing her as well as she knows him, because she has about acr an enigmatic inscrutability which together with her extreme acuteness of perception is part of her 'transcendence'. But his jability to lose faith in her-he does so twice towards the end of the play-is symbolically as inexcusable as the weakness of and accuses her of betraying him. He can be excused for not losing faith in religion which can also be inscrutable.

of poverty, that is, at the very verge of extinction and nothing-When his final rage subsides, it leaves him at the extreme limit ness:

Eros, thou yet behold'st me? Antony Eros

Sometime we see a cloud that's dragonish, Antony

A vapour sometime like a bear or lion, A tower'd citadel, a pendent rock,

A forked mountain, or blue promontory

With trees upon 't, that nod unto the world And mock our eyes with air: thou hast seen

these signs;

They are black vesper's pageants.

That which is now a horse, even with a thought, Ay, my Lord. The rack dislimns, and makes it indistinct Antony Eros

As water is in water.

My good knave Eros, now thy captain is Antony Eros

It does, my Lord.

Yet cannot hold this visible shape, my knave. Even such a body; here I am Antony;

(14, 14).

The 'false' news which then comes of Cleopatra's death is cally a truth in disguise, and it is so in a double sense: it eaches Antony the truth that she belongs to the next world, not through death; and it also 'proves' to him beyond any doubt that she is altogether faithful to him, so that when he deals this, and that he can only be united with her by himself passing imself the wound he is to die of, his love is no longer lacking in wisdom. His situation at this moment is very similar in almost every respect to that of the Moor at the close of Othello.

SHAKESPEARE IN THE LIGHT OF SACRED ART

At the moment where the story of Antony ends, the story of the pilgrimage of Cleopatra comes into the foreground. The spiritual aspect of Antony has been present in the background especially in the scene where Alexas brings a pearl from him throughout, and has come once or twice into the foreground, to Cleopatra who says:

Yet, coming from him, that great medicine hath How much unlike thou art Mark Antony! With his linct gilded thee.

We may quote also from the same scene:

What, was he sad or merry? Cleopatra

Like to the time o' the year between the

Which seem'd to rell them his remembrance lay Who make their looks by his; he was not merry, O heavenly mingle! Be'est thou sad or merry, He was not sad, for he would shine on those Of hot and cold, he was nor sad nor merry. O well-divided disposition! Note him... In Egypt with his joy; but between both: The violence of either thee becomes, So does it no man else. Cleopatra

This scene prepares us for the conversation between Cleopatra and Dolabella in the last scene of the play, that is, after Antony's death.

I dreamt there was an Emperor Antony: Cleopatra

O! such another sleep, that I might see But such another man! ...

A sun and moon, which kept their course, and His face was as the heavens; and therein stuck

Crested the world: his voice was propertied As all the tuned spheres, and that to friends; His legs bestrid the ocean: his rear'd arm The little O, the earth . . .

^a The Philosopher's Stone which, having power to transmute baser metals into gold, is an image of the Divine Spirit.

ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA

But when he meant to quail and shake the orb Think you there was, or might be, such a man He was as rattling thunder. For his bounty, There was no winter in'l; an autumn 'twas That grew the more by reaping ... As this I dreamt of?

Dolabella

You lie, up to the hearing of the gods. Gentle madam, no. Cleopatra

Cleopatra by Antony's death. But for her the symbol vanishes into the reality; the choice is not between Egypt and Rome but quite literally between Heaven and earth. It now faces her for The alternative which faced Antony throughout the whole play, the choice between Heaven and earth, the celestial East and the worldly West, and which is finally forced on him by the 'false' news of Cleopatra's death, is also brought home to the first time; until then, as she says,

It were for me

Till they had stol'n our jewel. All's but naught, To tell them that this world die equal theirs To throw my sceptre at the injurious gods; Patience is sottish and impatience does Become a dog that's mad. The word injurious' does not make Cleopatra's remark comparable to Gloster's

As flies to wanton boys are we to the gods: They kill us for their sport.

which she never really possessed, has been snatched Lack, she She does not need Edgar to tell her that imputience does become a dog that's mad. Her attitude is as much intellectual as sentimental. Now that Antony, a brief loan from Heaven to earth sees this world as utter vanity.

And there is nothing left remarkable Beneath the visiting moon. Both patience and impatience are equally pointless. The situation is for her as a Divine summons to the next world. 93

92

In the following scene, the last of the play, she continues in the same vein:

My desolation does begin to make
A better life. Tis paltry to be Caesar:
Not being Fortune, he's but Fortune's knave,
A minister of her will: and it is great
To do that thing that ends all other deeds;
Which shackles accidents and bolts up change;
Which sleeps, and never palates more the dung,
The beggar's nurse and Caesar's.

In the first scene of the play Antony had already said;

Our dungy earth alike Feeds beast as man.

None the less, to kill herself is, for Cleopatra, the most difficult thing in the world—as difficult as it is for Isabella to forego her revenge on Angelo. There is nothing at all of the European romantic about Cleopatra. She has a deep-rooted Oriental practicality and no one needs less to be taught the old adage that warns us against putting all our eggs into one basket. Earlier on, when Caesar's messenger suggested to her that her attitude to Antony was one of fear rather than of love, she agreed with him for no other reason, apparently, than because she could not bear to throw away a possible advantage. Might it not be very useful to her in the future that Caesar should have such ideas in his head about her relationship with Antony? It was not for nothing that in a previous scene Antony had said of her:

She is cunning past man's thought.

And now, when she is overflowing with contempt for this world and when she has already decided, so it seems, to put an end to her life, she is none the less prepared to go to some lengths in order to prevent Caesar from laying hands on the bulk of her treasure. Her treasurer's betrayal of her perhaps helps her to unke up her mind altogether and overcome her last lingering weaknesses. In view of these weaknesses, in view of the fact that to kill herself is, for her, the most difficult thing in the world, suicide is in her case, doubly symbolic: it means both killing the dragon' and passing through the 'narrow gate' and as such

ANTONY AND CLEOPATRA

it is equivalent both to Hamlet's killing Claudius and to his dying himself.

When singleness of purpose finally crystallizes in her, she says:

Show me, my women, like a queen: go fetch My best attires: I am again for Cydnus To meet Mark Antony.

and then:

Give me my robe, put on my crown; I have Immortal longings in me: now no more The juice of Egypt's grape shall moist this lip... Husband, I come:

Now to that name my courage prove my title! I am five and air; my other elements

I give to baser life.

Previously Antony had said, in just the same situation:

I come, my queen . . . stay for me Where souls do couch on flowers we'll hand in hand. And with our sprightly port make the ghosts gaze

A bridegroom in my death, and run into't As to a lover's bed. (1v, 14).

CHAPTER X

Cymbeline

In the Divine Comedy, shortly before Dante reaches the top of the Mountain of Purgatory, he is made to pass through the fire, fire which harms not a hair of his head yet which is so fierce to the senses that—to use his own image—if there had been a vat of molten glass at hand he would have plunged into it to cool himself. After this there remains no further obstacle between him and the top of the mountain on which is the Garden of Eden. But the night has fallen, and so, unable to go any further, he lies down to sleep; and in that sleep he dreams of the Earthly Paradise which he is to enter the next day.

Purgatory and through the final fire to that sleep, and sometimes In all the plays we have considered so far except Macbeth, Shakespeare takes his heroes and heroines up the Mountain of to that dream of Paradise; but he takes them no further. Even at the end of Measure for Measure we are, as it were, only upon the threshold. As to the other great plays of this so-called 'middle' period, they are in any case all tragedies and as such could at without directly representing it on the stage. But in the latest of these, Antony and Cleopatra, Shakespeare's treatment of his the most only imply or herald what lies beyond Purgatory, theme comes near to bursting the tragic form and to overflowing across the threshold of Paradise. It is therefore not surprising and The Tempest, he should have abandoned that form for one which would allow him to express directly not merely Purgatory that for his last plays, Pericles, Cymbeline, The Winter's Tale tself, but also something of what Purgatory leads to.

The opening situation of Cymbeline reminds us, in different ways, both of Hamlet and of Othello. Daughter and father in Cymbeline correspond to son and mother in Hamlet. Cymbeline himself, like Gertrude, represents the passive aspect of the human soul in its state of fallenness, and Innogen, like the Prince of Denmark, represents the active aspect of the soul, its conscience and its intelligence. The soul's state of corruption is indicated

by Cymbeline's second marriage, his being dominated by his wicked queen as he calls her at the end, just as in Hamlet it is indicated by Gertrude's marriage to Claudius. For the rest, the same truths are expressed in each play, but by means of different symbols. In Hamlet the Fall is marked by the murder of Gertrude's first husband, which brought about the soul's separation from the Spirit. In Cymbeline it is not represented by the death of the King's first wife, which is not mentioned, but by the loss of his 'immortality', that is, by the loss of his two sons, the result of his misguided rupture with his wise counsellor Belarius. Unlike Cordelia, Imogen is not enhanced as a symbol through being the King's third child. It is only at the very end of the play that the number three comes into its own when Cymbeline, having regained all his children, speaks of himself as being

A mother to the birth of three.

Until then it is the number two, in its positive aspect, which takes precedence. The King's two elder children represent here the two natures, heavenly and earthly, of primordial man. Fallen man, by comparison, is single, with a singleness of nature which is at the same time fragmentary, inasmuch as the remaining earthly nature is no longer perfect as it was before; and Cymbeline is reduced to that fragmentary singleness by being left with only a daughter, that is, with something less good, from the point of view of succession, than a single son would have been. The two sons are the two worlds, Heaven and earth, which Imogen (including her father) regains at the end of the play. She

I have got two worlds by 't,

that is, by the return of her two brothers. Their presence at her final union with Posthumus is thus exactly equivalent to the presence of Juno and Ceres at the betrothal of Miranda and Ferdinand; and although the symbolism of *The Winter's Tale* is not strengthened by any such presence, it is significant that Leontes at least mentions the two worlds in question when he says, of the newly betrothed Perdita and Florizel:

I lost a couple, that 'twixt heaven and earth Might thus have stood, begetting wonder as You, gracious couple, do. (v, 1).

The significance of Cymbeline's two sons is thus the same as that of Castor and Pollux, and it seems almost certain that Shakespeare had the heavenly twins in mind here, for he makes Belarius say of the two princes:

To inlay the heaven with stars. (v, 5); They are worthy

and at the end Cymbeline prays that they may continue to reign in their orbs. They are also, like Antony, the Philosopher's Stone, for like that great medicine, they too have power to turn baser metals into gold, inasmuch as they are spoken of as having gilded pale looks in the battle. Relatively speaking both the brothers, and not merely one, may be called 'heavenly' because the earth which the second brother signifies is not the earth as it is but as it was primordially, a Paradise altogether penetrated with celestial influences.

The symbolism of the two brothers is strengthened by their outstanding perfection; and this brings us to a point which concerns Shakespeare's last plays in general. These plays are less naturalistic and more mediaeval not only in virtue of the presence of Divine Powers albeit necessarily in 'pagan' disguise -Diana in Pericles, Jupiter in Cymbeline, Apollo in The Winter's Tale and Juno, Iris and Ceres in The Tempest-but also through the relative absence of psychological detail in the characters, an absence which is made up for by detail of another kind. It is to be noticed, for example, that in the three latest but also perfect in the circumstances of their lives; the two sons plays, those persons who represent the lost spiritual wisdom are not only perfect in character, like Desdemona and Cordelia, of Cymbeline have been brought up amid entirely natural surroundings, far away from all contact with corrupt civilization; Perdita has been brought up by shepherds whose way of life

¹ The heavenly twins were born from an egg that was laid by Leda in the form of a swan. René Guénon in La Grande Triade (La Table Ronde, 1916, p. 43) remarks that in Hinduism, to which the ancient Greek tradition is related, the creation of the universe is represented by the cotth. The swan is here the symbol of the Spirit of God which 'breathed upon the face of the waters'; and the 'dividing of the waters' in Genesis is equivalent to the dividing of the 'egg of the Universe' in Hinduism. hatching of a swan's egg out of the two halves of which come heaven and

CYMBELINE

has always been associated with purity and innocence; and Miranda has been brought up on the enchanted island.

Cloten. In both cases the devil has failed to increase his hold upon his victim; and what is more, a sudden and secret marriage What the openings of Cymbeline and Othello have in common is that in both plots the powers of darkness had been scheming to bring the soul of Everyman still further under their domination. Iago had been doing his utmost to have himself appointed as the Moor's lieutenant; and in Cymbeline the wicked stepmother had been deing her utmost to marry Imogen to her son between soul and Spirit has taken him altogether by surprise. Posthumus is an eagle-

I chose an eagle,

And did avoid a puttock." (1, 1),

eagle and the lion being the bird and beast of the sun which is says Imogen-and he is also Leonatus, the lion's whelp, the the great symbol of the Spirit. There is no common measure, from this point of view, between Leonatus and Imogen, since he, as she says,

overbuys me

(ibid.); Almost the sum he pays.

and since lachimo in the hour of repentance describes Posthumus as being

The best of all

we may quote also as sincere his earlier remark: Amongst the rarest of good ones.

He sits mongst men like a descended god. (1, 6).

the situation at the end is indicated by Cymbeline's taking a esson from Posthumus, who has just pronounced his forgiveness has not yet been consummated, and does not become fully reiterating the loss of his two sons. The complete reversal of But in Cymbeline, as in Othello, the marriage is only virtual. It actualized until the very end. Posthumus is immediately banished; and by banishing him, the king in a sense reenacts the Fall, of Iachimo. Cymbeline says:

Nobly doomed!

We'll learn our freeness of a son-in-law; Pardon's the word to all.

Mite.

å

And Posthumus's symbolic identity with the King's two sons is confirmed by their joyful acceptance of him as a brother.

Although, as we have seen, the relationship between Imogen and her father corresponds globally to the relationship between Hamlet and his mother, there is a considerable difference of imperfection of fallen man and both have to develop and be King, who remains entirely static, his only development being being perfect from the start, her only imperfection being that she is not yet free. The spiritual path is her escape from the detail. In Hamlet both the Prince and the Queen share the purified. In Cymbeline all the faults are concentrated in the his repentance. Imogen personilies fallen man's better nature struggling to free itself. Unlike Hamlet she is represented as court and her journey to Milford Haven in order to make good way which leadeth unto life; and it is so fraught with destitution through the narrow gate of death. It is significant that when her marriage. This journey is a perfect image of the 'straightened and desolution that she may certainly be said to have passed she is finally found by the Roman Lucius she replies, in answer to his question who she is:

Nothing to be were better.4 (IV, 2). I am nothing; or if not

But on the journey she has been given a foretaste of Paradise the symbolic identity between them and Posthumus is brought in her seeting reunion with her two lost brothers. Here again out, for they take as it were his place in Imogen's eyes, and although she does not know who they are, she momentarily transfers her allegiance from him to them, since he has forsaken

Pardon me, gods!

1'ld change my sex to be companion with them, Since Leonatus false. (111, 7).

that part of the soul which is directly dominated by the powers of darkness. These powers are gradually being forced to loosen Meantime other effects of her spiritual striving are to be seen, not in herself where there is no room for development, but in their hold upon Cymbeline himself. The lesser of them, Cloten, is drawn on in pursuit of Imogen antil he trespasses upon the

* It would be better to be nothing than what I am.

CYMBELINE

ery outskirts of Paradise, where he is killed outright by the Spirit; and this indirectly kills his mother, who dies gradually of a fever brought on by frustration at his absence, exasperation and despair. Thus the whole soul is finally set free from its bonds.

for Cassio Othello's wife is divine Desdemona; for Pisanio she is more goddess-like than wife-like; but perhaps the most significant of all, considering the wisdom of the speaker, is Belarius's of the gods; for one of the courtiers she is divine Imogen just as lanogen and her father. But like Antony and Cleopatra, Cymbeline has a reversible symbolism: its also the story of Posthumus Leonatus, and from this point of view it is he who stands for the soul and Imogen, the princess, who represents the Spirit. imogen's transcendence is stressed throughout the play, by various characters in various ways. For Posthumus she is a gift exclamation when he first sees her (she is at that time disguised So far we have only considered the play from one angle, according to which Everyman is represented by the synthesis of

An earthly paragon! Behold divineness By Jupiter, an angel! or if not No elder than a boy! (III, 6).

Imogen to her death. It is only when the false news of her death light, and brings with it its own retribution, a too easy loss of comes to him that light dawns and his Purgatory begins. The manifested by his public boasting about Imogen-is brought to faith in Imogen, which breeds the sins of raging anger, treachery and, by intention, murder, for he treacherously seeks to lure change from the descent to the ascent is marked by his deciding to change sides in the battle and fight for Britain instead of The path of Posthumus Leonatus is a descent into Hell followed by an ascent of Purgatory. The sin of spiritual pride—

For thee, O Imogen, even for whom my life Against the part I come with; so I'll die is, every breath, a death. (v, 1).

the battle and to put the Romans to flight. Then, in despair at not having died, he gives himself up to the Britons as a He helps Belarius and the two princes to rescue Cymbeline in

to prison. His eloquent prayer for Divine Mercy-a passage too Roman prisoner, hoping to find death that way, and is led off little known on account of the language difficulties caused by its elliptical concentration-recalls Hamlet's the readiness is all and Edgar's ripeness is all. In Posthumus' case, 'ripeness' takes sins or, in other words, of having passed through Purgatory in the form of having paid all debts, that is, of having expiated all this life. He is altogether confident that the act of death will work the final purifying touch and win him the fullness of Divine Mercy, thus opening for him the door from the prison of Purgatory to the freedom of Paradise. His certainty is confirmed by the vision which he then has of blessed spirits from Elysium interceding with Jupiter on his behalf. It is in virtue of this that he can so flatly contradict the gaoler at the end of the same scene. The gist of their argument might be expressed as follows. The gaoler insists that death is a closed door and that no one knows for certain what lies on the other side of it. Posthumus maintains that death is an open door through which anyone who is prepared to open his eyes can see what lies

direct them the way I am going, but such as wink I tell thee, sellow, there are none want eyes to and will not use them. (v, 4). The meaning already given to these words is the literal one in answer to the gaoler. But in the case of Posthumus this meaning coincides with a deeper one, for the wây I am going is the path of the mysteries, 'the straitened way that leadeth unto life', and few are they that find it' because although it lies in front of everyone as the obvious course to take, most people turn a blind eye to it. The 'narrow gate' itself however is not reached by Posthumus until the final scene when, still thinking that he has killed Imogen, he learns that she is entirely innocent, and

That all the abhorred things o' the earth amend Some upright justicer! Thou, king, send out O, give me cord, or knife, or poison, For torturers ingenious: it is I By being worse than they.

CYMBELINE

Angelo in Measure for Measure, that he may be said to have 'died into life' just as much as those of Shakespeare's heroes It is by virtue of his being absolute for death, like Claudio and and heroines who literally do die.

is not reversible. For Cleopatra as well as for Antony Rome is this world, and at the end the choice for Cleopatra is between this world, represented by Rome and Caesar, and the next world represented by the dead Antony. But in Cymbeline Britain and Rome have each a positive and a negative significance. Where Everyman is represented by Imogen and her father, the court In Antony and Cleopatra the symbolism of Egypt and Rome of Britain represents this world just as it does in King Lear, and Kent's words

Freedom lies hence, and banishment is here

between Rome and Jupiter in virtue of Jove's bird, the Roman eagle. Moreover Caesar's ambassador Lucius, Rome's chief repre-One aspect of Cymbeline's corruption is that he has refused to pay tribute to Caesar; and there is also a special connection sentative in this play, is extremely venerable. When advising might just as well be applied to Cymbeline's palace as to Lear's. Imogen to seek service with him, Pisanio says of him:

He's honourable, And, doubling that, most holy. (111, 4).

Considering the play from this point of view there is a certain identity between Rome and Belarius' cave inasmuch as both are spiritual centres with regard to which Cymbeline is at fault;

It is true, however, that the presence of Antony in the next world,

a Roman by a Roman

Valiantly vanquisht, adds as it were a certain 'prestige' to Rome; and although in the last scene

on through the imperative need to escape being taken to Rome, of which she conjures up the most sordid pictures, she has none the less already said Tis pality to be Caesar; and and the deaths of her attendants are hastened over Antony's dead body:

We'll bury him, and then, what's brave, what's noble, We'll do it after the high Roman fashion,

And make death proud to take us;

and the play ends on a note of grandeur and magnanimity from Caesar.

CYMBELINE

and it is to be noted that Cloten, who is actually killed for his viously expressed his readiness to commit sacrilege with regard sacrilege of trespassing upon the precints of the cave, has preto the other sanctuary. In preparing to follow Imogen he says:

I will pursue her

Even to Augustus' throne. (111, 5).

It is to be noted also that although the speeches expressing might seem in themselves to be positive, they are for the most Britain's desiance of Rome have a patriotic ring about them and part put by Shakespeare into the mouths of no more reputable characters than the Queen and her degenerate son.

On the other hand, from the point of view of the banished Posthumus, Britain, the abode of Imogen, is a Paradise. From this standpoint the faults of Cymbeline are not relevant. The soul, represented by Posthumus, is not yet worthy to be united with the Spirit, and has been justly banished. The celestial aspect of Britain is brought out strongly when the devilish lachimo, who is a Roman, says that he feels the very air to be taking revenge upon him:

The heaviness and guilt within my bosom Takes off my manhood. I have belied a lady, The princess of this country, and the air on't Revengingly enfeebles me. (v, z).

journey when he discards his Italian clothes for the garb of a As we have seen, it is a milestone in Posthumus' spiritual British peasant and decides to fight for Britain against Rome. The two opposite points of view are reconciled at the end

and merged into one standpoint from which both Britain and

Rome are celestial. Cymbeline says:

Whom heavens in justice on both her and hers To pay our wonted tribute, from the which Although the victor, we submit to Caesar We were dissuaded by our wicked queen; And to the Roman empire, promising Have laid most heavy hand. *To digress for the moment from Shakespeare's deepest meaning, and also from the literal meaning, is it possible to read into Cymbeline's somewhat unexpected submission a plea to the son of Mary Stuart to find some way of mending once more the breach between Britain and Rome?

103

This reconciliation is the meaning of the soothsayer's vision:

So vanish'd: which foreshow'd our princely eagle, Lessen'd herself and in the beams o' the sun From south to west on wing soaring aloft, The imperial Caesar, should again unite The Roman eagle, His savour with the radiant Cymbeline Which shines here in the west.

the drama itself has been snatched up to a higher plane. The of The Winter's Tale, but the celestial effect is none the less overwhelmingly conveyed by the altogether unearthly piling up of so much that had seemed to be irretrievably lost, the simultaneous realization of all the fullness of conjugal, filial and fraternal love. This celestial wealth of felicity finds perhaps above transition from earth to Heaven is not so clear-cut as at the end of happiness upon happiness-the sudden blissful perfect recovery Meantime, without our being able to say exactly how or when, all its expression in Cymbeline's words:

To Belarius] Thou art my brother, so we'll hold thee ever. And she, like harmless lightning, throws her eye On him, her brothers, me, her master, hilting Each object with a joy: the counterchange And smoke the temple with our sacrifices. Is severally in all. Let's quit this ground, Posthumus anchors upon Imogen;

7 The Roman Lucius, whom she had served as page.

CHAPTER XI

The Winter's Tale

OF all Shakespeare's plays the nearest parallel to The Divine Comedy is perhaps The Winter's Tale, though needless to say even this cannot take us as far as Dante's epic does. Like the For Leontes, as for Othello, Angelo and Posthumus, Hell and Purgatory are represented separately. The first part of The then had lurked hidden in the soul of Leontes. His behaviour in his first scene has something in common with Posthumus' boasting about Imogen. Both men are in possession of a secret treasure which in a sense they profane. Leontes' great fault is for a purely trivial purpose. There is no reason why Polyxenes cpic, however, it none the less falls into three distinct parts. Winter's Tale deals with the discovery of the evil which until so he tells us, why he should return to Bohemia. But Leontes that he exploits the forces of the Spirit, represented by Hermione, should stay any longer in Sicily and there are many reasons, squanders Hermione's irresistible power to make Polyxenes change his mind, and then even forgets himself so far as to say:

Hermione, my dearest, thou never spokest To better purpose. (1, 2).

She takes him up on this point, and he admits that she had spoken to better purpose once before, namely when she had consented to marry him. But his admission cannot atone for the enormity of so monstrous a loss of sense of proportion. It is in fact no less than sacrilege, considering what his marriage means, although in his case as in the other cases we have seen, the union of soul and Spirit is as yet only virtual, the marriage signifying initiation rather than realization, for Leontes has not yet learnt to rate Hermione at her true worth.

To say, as is so often said, that the jealousy of Leontes is less convincing than that of Othello serves merely to confuse the issue. As we have already seen, it is impossible to represent all the different aspects of the truth in one play. Iago is a wonderful

THE WINTER'S TALE

portrayal of the devil in all his hellishness; but at the price of such a portrait Shakespeare is scarcely able, in Othello, to convey the fact that the devil is inside the soul of fallen man as well as outside it. Leontes is Othello with Iago inside him. There is no outward personification of the devil in The Winter's Tale, or indeed of any evil, except for the knavcry of Autolycus which has no direct bearing on the plot. The other characters stand round like guardian angels while Leontes plunges deeper and deeper into Hell. They know that he is not himself. What has already been said about Angelo in connection with Mariana's

They say best men are moulded out of faults

applies equally well to Leontes. The lost psychic substance has first of all to be rediscovered and then purified and reintegrated, and there is or can be a dangerous moment between the discovery and the purification. Leontes has woken up dormant elements in his soul which have leapt upon him and overpowered him before he could subdue them. He is, as Camillo puts it, in rebellion with himself. But he has saved himself in advance by submitting the whole issue to the judgment of Apollo:

Yet for a greater confirmation,
For in an act of this importance 'twere
Most piteous to be wild, I have dispatch'd in post
To sacred Delphos, to Apollo's temple,
Cleomenes and Dion...now from the oracle
They will bring all; whose spiritual counsel had,
Shall stop or spur me. (11, 1).

Later we learn that Cleomenes and Dion have returned from Delphos with almost miraculous speed; and we are given a brief glimpse of them as they land in Sicily, quite overwhelmed by the blessedness of Apollo's temple, the solemn reverence of the priests, the unearthliness of the sacrifice, and the voice of the oracle which reduced the hearers to a feeling of nothingness. Shakespeare is clearly determined that God shall preside over his play, despite puritanical laws to the contrary!

Having disowned his new-born daughter and sent her to be left in some desert place where she is more likely to die than to live, Leontes puts his wife Hermione on trial for adultery and treason. The descent into Hell goes on, without any question

107

of Purgatory, until finally Hermione appeals to Apollo for justice. Cleomenes and Dion are called into court and the statement of the oracle is read:

Hermione is chaste; Polyxenes blameless; Camillo a true subject; Leontes a jealous tyrant; his innocent babe truly begotten; and the king shall live without an heir, if that which is lost be not found. (111, 2).

The oracle precipitates Leontes to the nethermost depth of Hell and he cries out:

There is not truth at all i' the oracle, The session shall proceed; this is mere falsehood.

thus bringing to light the greatest of all evils, impiety against Heaven. Innmediate retribution follows: unknown to any of those present, the King's son is dead and the King is in fact without an heir except for that which is lost, the baby daughter whom he has cast out. No sooner has Lcontes uttered his blasphemy than a servant enters, announcing the death of the Prince. This one terrible blow shatters in an instant all the rebellion in Leontes' soul. We have seen that in Othello, when Emilia suddenly proves beyond doubt Desdemona's innocence and Iago's guilt, the whole of the Moor's dark descent into Hell is immediately lit up in retrospect. Symbolically, that moment is the exact equivalent of the Fiat lux brought about by the oracle in The Winter's Tale. At the news of his son's death, the scales he is all repentance. Meantime his wife has fainted and is carried fall from Leontes' eyes, and seeing exactly what has happened, off; and then the news is brought that she too is dead.

The Purgatory of Leontes takes place in the interval of the sixteen years which clapse between this act and the next. But although it is not represented on the stage, Shakespeare gives us a wonderful glimpse, at its outset, of the immensity of the task in all its apparent hopelessness, and of the soul's contrition which makes all things possible. Paulina says to Leontes:

A thousand kears together, naked, fasting, Upon a barren mountain, and still winter In storm perpetual, could not move the gods To look that way thou wert.

THE WINTER'S TALE

Leontes replies:

Go on, go on;
Thou canst not speak too much; I have deserv'd
All tongues to talk their bitterest.

After he has said at the end of the scene

Come, lead me to these sorrows,

we do not see him again until the sixteen years have passed.

This undisguised miracle play is intensified in its effect upon us by the symbolism of death and birth combined with that of winter and spring. It is Leontes' son, the young Prince Mamillius, who dies; and it is he who tells the winter's tale, the story of Hell and Purgatory. When asked by Hermione to tell her a merry tale he says:

A sad tale 's best for winter. (II, 1).

He then puts his mouth close to his mother's ear to whisper to her his 'tale about the man who dwelt by a churchyard', and just at that moment the raging Leontes rushes in, and sets in motion the train of sorrowful events. The last of these is that when Perdita, the new-born daughter of Leontes, has been left in a desert place in Bohemia, Antigonus who left her there is killed by a bear and the crew of his boat are shipwrecked. These deaths are witnessed by the son of a shepherd; meantime Perdita has been found by the shepherd himself, who says to his son:

Thou mettest with things dying, I with things new-born. (III, 3).

This brings a new note into the play, and identifies Perdita with birth, just as her brother was identified with death; and when next we see her, after sixteen years, she is dressed as Flora, the Goddess of Spring. This sheep-shearing festival scene, like the whole of the second part of the play, takes place in late summer, which is the season that Leontes has now reached in life. But Shakespeare overstamps this scason with the seal of spring in the characters of Perdita and Florizel; when they decide to elope to Sicily, we know that with their coming the

tale of winter will end for Leontes, and the tale of spring will

This is confirmed by the opening speech of the next scene, which has already been quoted in an carlier chapter. We are once more with Leontes in Sicily, and Cleomenes says to him

which you have not redeem'd; indeed, paid down Sir, you have done enough, and have performed Do as the heavens have done, forget your evil; A saint-like sorrow; no fault could you make More penitence than done trespass; at the last With them forgive yourself. (v, 1). These words tell us that we are now at the point beyond which the plays of the middle period do not go.

Prince of Bohemia, accompanied by his princess, of whom it is A gentleman comes in to announce the arrival of Florizel,

More worth than any man; men, that she is Women will love her, that she is a woman The rarest of all women.

Paulina says:

Jewel of children-seen this hour, he had pair'd Well with this lord: there was not full a month Had our prince-Between their birth.

Prince Mamillius. He and Perdita together, like Cymbeline's This indicates, in a sense, that Florizel is to replace the dead two sons, thus represent the 'immortality' of primordial man which was lost and has now been found again.

Leontes is now on the very threshold of the Earthly Paradise, Paradise. The entry of the Prince and Princess can be quite adequate, and provided that there is the right kind of music and the sight of Florizel and Perdita is as a vision of that overwhelming, provided that those who play their parts are (we will come back to this question later), continuing long enough, after their entry, to enable them to 'beget wonder' in

THE WINTER'S TALE

<u>5</u>

Leontes and his court, and also in the audience, before Leontes says to them:

Might thus have stood begetting wonder as I lost a couple, that 'twixt heaven and earth You, gracious couple, do:

and later:

Welcome hither,

As is the spring to the earth.

are told of his discovery that Perdita is his daughter, and thus place to something more than a vision, for in the next scene we The vision of Paradise fades for the moment, but only to give he regains that which was lost.

gateway to the Heavenly Paradise, which is the theme of the meaning of The Winter's Tale Hermione never really died at all, but has remained hidden for sixteen years, the audience, for are sure that she is dead and in Heaven. Her appearance in the last scene has therefore the implicit effect of raising that scene to a celestial plane and of making us certain that husband and wife The final scene takes us further. The Earthly Paradise is the once, have not been taken into the secret. They, like Leontes, third part of Dante's epic; and although according to the literal will, quite literally, 'live together happily ever afterwards'.

CHAPTER XII

The Tempest

The Tempest is by general consent Shakespeare's last complete play. We have already seen something of how it is anticipated by both A Midsummer Night's Dream and Measure for Measure. But perhaps the nearest parallel to The Tempest is As You Like It. In both a reigning duke is driven out of his duchy by ausurping brother; the plot of each turns round the love story of the rightful duke's daughter who also has been exiled; in each the usurping brother finally repents and the rightful duke gains once more possession of his duchy; and the most striking resemblance of all is that each takes place in a setting which is beyond—and above—the confines of civilization.

The forest of Arden represents the Golden Age. The banished duke and his followers are said to *ficet the time carelessly, as they did in the golden world.* We are transported back to an age when man still lived as it were in the neighbourhood of the lost Paradise.

Here feel we but the penalty of Adam,

The season's difference ...

And this our life exempt from public haunts

Find tongues in trees, books in the running brooks,

Sermons in stones, and good in everything.

I would not change it. (11, 1).

says the Duke. The enchanted island of *The Tempest* also transcends the rest of the world. Like the forest of the earlier play it is near to Heaven and therefore the ideal setting for the love that symbolizes the reunion of the celestial with the terrestial. At the end of *As You Like It*, Rosalind is led on by Hymen who says:

Good Duke, receive thy daughter: Hymen from heaven brought her. And in The Tempest, as we have already seen, the 'marriage' of Heaven and earth actually takes place in the persons of Juno

and Ceres, who are brought together by Iris, the celestial rainbow messenger, to attend the betrothal of Miranda and Ferdinand.

Although at the first meeting of these two lovers each thinks the other is divine, and although in the last scene Miranda expresses wonder at 'civilization' as a 'brave new world', there can be no doubt that it is she who represents the Spirit and Ferdinand the soul, without there being any question here of reversing the symbolism. The perfection of her nature which allows for no further development, the perfection of her upbringing, the fact that she has spent almost all her life on the enchanted island, and the fact that she is Prospero's daughter far outweigh any 'untranscendent' remarks that she may have to make on behalf of the play's literal meaning. Above all, it is Prospero who represents the Spirit; and Miranda is an extension of him, just as Perdita is an extension of him, just as Perdita is an extension of him, away.

In addition to the already mentioned resemblance between The Tempest and Measure for Measure, it may be noted that if Prospero is banished from Milan, the Duke is also banished—self-banished—from Vienna; and if the Duke secretly remains in Vienna to observe what happens in his supposed absence, Prospero keeps Milan under his observation by transporting it, together with Naples from which it is symbolically inseparable, to his island. Naples and Milan, like Vienna, are this world. In a sense the whole world is on board the boat; and at the centre of that world stands Alonso, the King of Naples, with his son, Ferdinand. We do not see them at all in the scene of the ship wreck, which opens the play, but we are made to feel their presence. Gonzalo says:

The king and prince at prayers! let's assist them, For our case is as theirs. If they and Miranda were made to shudder at civilization with such unnitigated disdain as would accord with their symbolic meaning, the play's literal meaning, according to which they have to spend the rest of their lives in a civilized setting, would come dangerously near to tragedly. At the end of these two plays the courts of Britain and Naples have therefore to be considered in a purely positive sense, as things which were lost and are now regained.

The King and the Prince in this play, like the King and the Princess in Cymbeline, together represent the human soul which is on its way through purification to sanctification. The symbolic relationship between parent and child in both plays is exactly the same,² the child representing the better nature and the father remaining altogether static, a personification first of guilt and then of repentance. Hell is not portrayed in The Tempest, except in retrospect. The powers of evil are present, but they are already together under control. Prospero's brother Antonio, the usurping Duke of Milan, is not the devil himself, but he none the less strongly recalls Claudius in Hamlel. It is Antonio who has contrived to bring about the exile of .the Spirit by seducing the soul, in the person of the King of Naples, into an unholy alliance.

The greater part of Purgatory is concentrated in the tempest itself at the opening of the play. Having passed through this storm, Everyman has reached the enchanted island which is no less than a setting for the sacred precinct that marks the end of the soul's quest. This precinct, Prospero's cell, is as a sanctuary compared with the rest of the island which is however exceedingly blessed for being at the outskirts of Paradise, so much so that even Caliban is aware of it:

Be not afeard; the isle is full of noises,
Sounds and sweet airs, that give delight, and hurt not.
Sometimes a thousand twangling instruments
Will hum about mine ears; and sometimes voices,
That, if I then had waked after long sleep,
Will make me sleep again: and then, in dreaming,
The clouds methought would open, and show riches
Ready to drop upon me; that, when I waked,
I cried to dream again. (11, 2).

Having reached the outskirts of Paradise, Alonso and Ferdinand are separated and each has to suffer the death of believing that the other is dead. For Alonso the end of Purgatory is marked by his complete repentance, after Ariel, at Prospero's

command, has caused him to be penetrated through and through by a sense of his guilt. Alonso says:

O, it is monstrous, monstrous!
Methought the billows spoke and told me of it;
The winds did sing it to me; and the thunder,
Then ame of Prosper: it did bass my trespass.
Therefore my son i' th'ooze is bedded; and
I'll seek him deeper than e'er plummet sounded,
And with him there lie mudded. (III, 3).

Later, when he meets Prospero, still thinking that his son is dead and thinking also that Prospero has lost his daughter, his complete sincerity is not to be doubted when he says:

O heavens, that they were living both in Naples, The king and queen there! that they were, I wish Myself were mudded in that oozy bed Where my son lies. (V, 1).

It is only when Alonso's repentance is assured and when each of the evil elements in his soul has suffered

a sea-change Into something rich and strange

that Ferdinand, for his part, comes to the end of his ordeal of carrying logs, and Prospero consents to his marriage with Miranda. We are now at the same point that is reached in The Winter's Tale when Perdita and Florizel come to the court of Leontes. There is no character in The Tempest to correspond to Hermione. Instead a stress is laid on the difference between betrothal and marriage. The Earthly Paradise is not the complete union of soul and Spirit but the leaning down as it were of Spirit to soul, of Heaven to earth. In the Earthly Paradise, symbolized here by the betrothal of Ferdinand and Miranda. Everyman has reached the fullness of earthly possibility. It is therefore at this point that Prospero initiates Ferdinand into the Greater Mysteries, preparing him for the Celestial Paradise

by telling him that all the glories of earthly life are nothing more than a dream:

The cloud-capp'd towers, the gorgeous palaces, Our revels now are ended. These our actors, Leave not a wrack behind. We are such stuff The solemn temples, the great globe itself, And, like this insubstantial pageant faded, And, like the baseless fabric of this vision, As dreams are made on; and our little life As if you were dismay'd: be cheerful, sir. You do look, my son, in a moved sort, Yea, all which it inherit, shall dissolve, As I foretold you, were all spirits, and Are melled into air, into thin air: Is rounded with a sleep. (11, 1).

Tempest, as it is in The Winter's Tale, but the whole play The Celestial Paradise is not actually represented in The leans towards it, that is, towards the final marriage of the lovers. It may also be said to lean towards it in another sense. Again

and again in his plays Shakespeare has likened this life either to the part played by an actor on the stage, or to a shadow, or to a dream. Now to speak of a play or any kind of fiction necessarily means that as a term of comparison one has in mind something which may be called 'real life'; to be continually likening things to shadows suggests a longing for the substance; and to dismiss everything that we experience and possess as a mere dream betrays a nostalgia for the state of being awake. But in order to reach that state of waking, that is, the Paradise of to pass through that sleep which is the surrounding wall of the dream-world in which we live; and it is clearly in view of that secing 'face to face' and not 'as in a glass darkly', it is necessary sleep as a gate-for in itself it is not worth meditating on-that makes Prospero say, when about to give up his art and retire Shakespeare, about to give up his art and retire to Stratford,

Every third thought shall be my grave.

CHAPTER XIII

Notes on Performance and Production

than it may sound, for Shakespeare's maturer plays, even as regards their literal meaning, centre round human perfection, if not already achieved at any rate in the making-a perfection Take care of that, and the deeper meaning will take care of itself. But to be true to the letter is less easy and more exacting meaning of Shakespeare's plays? A general answer to this question is: by being as faithful as possible to the literal meaning. How can actors and producers best do justice to the deeper that is absolute and unsurpassable:

Where every god did seem to set his seal To give the world assurance of a man. A combination and a form indeed

plicated psychic substance made up of marvellously rich elements which are closely woven into a total effect of unity, simplicity and unfathomable depth; and this ideal spells great danger to remain current even as far East as feudal Japan, and as far West is the Red Indians of North America-a complex but not coman actor, for it cannot fail to measure out his capacities to their Shakespeare has in view a universal norm, a coin which would very fullest extent.

In Hamlet, for example, the actor may be said to have failed in his part if in the last scene the audience does not assent whole-heartedly to Horatio's admiring exclamation:

Why, what a king is this!

and to Fortinbras' last words over the Prince's dead body:

He was likely, had he been put on, To have proved most royally. Similarly, to take another example, the actor of the part of Antony cannot afford to forget during his performance that at

the end, when Antony's men find him dying, they are to say: The star is fallen.

And time is at his period.1 (1v, 14),

and that Cleopatra is to say, when he actually dies:

There is nothing left remarkable Beneath the visiting moon. (1v, 15).

But if, as a loophole of escape, from a greatness hard to portray, the actor seizes on the word 'dotage' so often applied to Antony by Cleopatra's enemics, and if he sets out to portray a man who, however great he may have been, is now psychically dilapidated, then the whole significance of the play will be seriously impaired. No actor would however admit, even to himself, that for fear of putting on a garment that was too big for him, he was cutting down the garment to fit his own small size. The conscious motive for side-tracking is usually the desire to be thought original or fear of a central Shakespearean part; and whatever the motive, it happens all too often that the main issue, which is one of sincerity and depth, is avoided, and as a miserable 'compensation' all sorts of psychological subtelities, quite unwarranted by the text, are invented.

To illustrate this question still further let us take a recently much discussed example from a play that so far has been mentioned no more than by name; and since the plays written before Hamlet have scarcely come within the scope of this book execpt incidentally, it must be admitted here and now, while leaving the all-important question of total effect to be considered in the next chapter, that if we were to choose out the greatest single scenes from Shakespeare, or the greatest single moments, not a few of these would be found to come from the earlier Olivia be made comic through affectation—as is now something of a vogue—this moment will be sadly diminished if not reduced to nothing.² As regards her love for Cesario, that is, for the disguised Viola—who, it must be remembered, is consciously

Time has reached its final phase,

² Whatever travesties there may have been since, Denis Carey's admirable production of Twelfth Night at the Old Vic in 1951 left nothing to be desired in this respect. Gwen Cherrill's simple, direct and altogether unsuphisticated approach to the part of Olivia was exactly right.



initating her twin brother Sebastian—it is essential that it should be portrayed with all the depth and sincerity that an actress can muster. It must be intense enough to compel the audience to echo in thought Viola's 'alasl' when she says:

As I am a woman,—now, alas the day!—
What thriftless sighs shall poor Olivia breathe!
O Time, thou must untangle this, not I;
It is too hard a knot for me l'untie. (11, 2).

beloved Cesario-as she thinks-from her uncle, and finds herself face to face with Sebastian for the first time. Several factors contribute to the strength of that impact, and not the least of often we have seen the play before, it tends to come as something of a shock, partly because our attention is absorbed by the comic effect of the mistaken identity on Sir Toby and Sir Andrew and concern for Olivia's happiness, and this can only be assured if they take her and her love altogether seriously. Shakespeare has already paved the way for them to do this by making the Duke when Olivia comes running out of the house to protect her these is its unexpectedness. So well contrived is it that however we are expecting more comic effects rather than a profoundly serious one. But by far the chief factor is the audience's deep say, in the first scene, in reference to her long mourning for her It is clear that Shakespeare intended the audience to share this sentiment; for only if their feelings are properly roused can the knot's' sudden and blissful 'untanglement' make its full impact, dead brother:

O, she that hath a heart of that fine frame To pay this debt of love but to a brother, How will she love, when the rich golden shaft Hath killed the flock of all affections else That live in her! According to the ancient conception of true love, the beloved is, as we have seen, no less than the lost half, the perfect complement, which the lover has always, perhaps unconsciously, been yearning to recover. Olivia is therefore not so very much mistaken when she falls in love with the disguised Viola, for in view of the irresistible mutual attraction which has always existed virtually between herself and Schastian it is not unnatural that for want of ever having seen him she should feel a foretaste

SHAKESPEARE IN THE LIGHT OF SACRED ART

of that love on seeing his disguised twin sister, enough even to and somewhat puzzling situation Shakespeare snatches something prefer her to all the world. From the abnormality of this strange of the abnormality of miracle. Viola has already become for the audience, as far as Olivia is concerned, a symbol of all that the soul most deeply desires. Sebastian is therefore something more than a symbol. In him the shadow has given place to the substance. It is as if he had dropped straight from Heaven; and it is in the 'opening of Heaven' that there lies the secret of this moment's extraordinary power.

In the already mentioned production the effect of this first meeting between Olivia and Sebastian, and indeed of the play as a whole, was unmeasurably deepened by exactly the right kind of music. This brings us from the question of acting to that of production, though the two things necessarily overlap, since in most modern productions the director is mainly responsible for the interpretation of the parts.

Despite what some may say, it is very conceivable that Shakespeare would have welcomed many of the facilities of the modern theatre, at any rate in principle. He might well have been glad to exploit some of the scenic effects which can be obtained now and could not then. He would almost certainly dressed as Elizabethans as they were in his own productions. But the wider range of possibilities has also its drawbacks, for it opens the door to many blunders which would have been out of the question in Shakespeare's own day. There might seem produced his plays in the sort of clothes that were worn by his have preferred not to have his mediaeval kings and queens all to be a certain logic in the argument that since Shakespeare audience why should we not do the same? Might it not make the audience feel more 'at home'? But apart from the fact that the audience do not go to Shakespeare in order to be made to feel 'at home'-or rather, they go in order to be made to feel at home in quite a disferent way-Shakespeare in modern dress does not make them feel at home in any sense at all. The utter disparity between Shakespearean verse and twentieth century fashion is bound to create a feeling of uncasiness even in the least critical members of an audience. Whatever the limitations of early Jacobean and late Elizabethan dress may be, it at least belongs to the tail end of a tradition which was based on the

and poetic imagery are a means of enhancing the dignity and beauty of man's speech. But modern dress, which to say the least has no such pretentions, cannot possibly join forces with the conception of man as the representative of God on earth. In those days garments were still conceived of as a means of enhancing the dignity and beauty of man's body just as verse splendours of Shakespeare's language. It can only fight against that splendour, fatally diminishing its impact upon us. NOTES ON PERFORMANCE AND PRODUCTION

We are taking here an extreme case. Fortunately productions of Shakespeare in modern dress are comparatively rare. But the objections to them apply also, in a lesser degree, to any attempts made to fit, and when we are forced to wear it, it hurts. If Shakespeare was 'not of an age but for all time' this does not mean that his plays can be twisted into line with the particular time' and therefore of our age also, this is because he was an intellectual in the ancient sense of the word, with his eye on the universal, and because the universal is by definition always present, however little it may be in evidence. It was in virtue of dramatists, was able to escape from the prison of his own age into the universal world of Plato and St. Augustine. What therefore can be more perverse than to seek to imprison him in the their own free will, and who could have gone, if they had to give the plays a modern slant. The shoe simply cannot be limitations of each successive age, least of all our own age which on the surface is so very remote from his ideals. If he was 'for all his intellectuality that Shakespeare, unlike his contemporary particular limitations of our own age? Besides, a Shakespeare audience is composed of people who have chosen to come of wanted, to a modern play instead. They are certainly not present because they are men and women of the twentieth century but because they are men and women; and it would not perhaps be far wrong to say that even if for the most part they are not fully conscious of it, they are present because they are men and women who have in them something which is in danger of being starved to death by the twentieth century.

reasons, can XVIIIth century dress, for though the age of white wigs would certainly have claimed that its dress enhanced the dignity of man's Neither can XIXth century dress, for the same reason. Nor, for different body, the artificiality and effeteness of its style is so alien to the spirit of Shakespeare that here again we should have the weakness of discord rather than the strength of concord.

above all. And what director would dare to admit that this is as possible upon the audience. This is what the audience wants not his aim? But there can be no strength without unity; and the different elements in his production. How often, when the dresses are admirable or at least adequate, their effect upon us However that may be, there can be no doubt that the ideal in production is that the text should make as strong an impact how seldom it is today that a director succeeds in uniting all is ruined by a bleak semi-surrealist setting. And even when the eye is satisfied in every respect, and when if the ear were how seldom it is that we are not given some quite mediocre correspondingly satisfied the audience would be taken by storm, utterly unmoving sounds specially composed for the occasion.

When it was suggested to one of our leading directors of he objected that this would oblige him to dress the actors like Elizabethans, which would give the production an aspect of 'quaintness'. It cannot be denied that there is something fantastic about Elizabethan dress which is not to be found in any dress example, has nothing of the 'quaintness' and limitedness-non-Shakespeare that he should make more use of Elizabethan music, that preceded it. By comparison, Tudor dress, to take the nearest universality one might say-of the costume in which Shakespeare's plays were first acted. It was no doubt the defect of nonuniversality that the director in question was driving at. But Elizabethan music is not necessarily to be classed with all the other features of its period. We have been educated to think of the past, at any rate as regards Europe, century by century. But not all centuries are equally isolated. The thirteenth, fourteenth and fifteenth centuries for example have each their own special characteristics which distinguish them from one another, but these differences are made relatively insignificant by being submerged as it were beneath a mediaeval sameness inasmuch as this period all belongs to the Christian civilization, that is, the theocratic civilization which has its roots in Christianity and is dominated by it. On the other hand the centuries from the seventeenth onwards are merely distinct from each other, for in their case there is no positive unifying factor. As to the transitional sixteenth century, the Middle Ages were not quite over in England, even as late as Shakespeare's day. The mere fact • It is no use having music which is just mildly agreeable.

nusic. Consequently, for want of a classical model on which to be remoulded, this was of all arts the least affected by the day tended to be 'behind the times'. At any rate it is possible to choose out from it any number of pieces which lean back towards Renaissance; and so, like Shakespeare himself, the music of his the Middle Ages, and make an admirably harmonious background to the speeches of Romeo, Lorenzo, Orsino, Ferdinand or not it belongs to the Christian civilization. Elizabethan dress certainly does not, but Shakespeare's plays do, and so also does Whatever features of ancient Greece and Rome the Renaissance may have discovered, it could not bring to a 'rebirth' their hat a thing was Elizabethan or even carly Jacobean leaves herefore unanswered the more important question as to whether nuch Elizabethan music, and even some carly Jacobean music. and others.

speaking they are important; for if a too purist approach be allowed to forbid a combination of Elizabethan music with nediaeval dress, our most easily accessible source of suitable music will be barred except on pain of wasp-waists and exaggerated ruffs. But apart from the practical question of what can easily be had, early Tudor and pre-Tudor pieces are no less suitable than Elizabethan ones, and we must remember also that These considerations may seem over-subtle, but practically Shakespeare himself had a special love for 'old music'.

wrote on the understanding that he would be supported when necessary by a marvellous undercurrent of sound, swelling up branles, measures and the like serve this purpose so admirably where the drama itself is for the most part so intense as to be almost self-sufficient, there should be as much incidental music as possible. Shakespeare knew well that some of the most powerful effects of the theatre are gained with the help of music, and he from time to time into something more than an undercurrent. Mediaeval and Elizabethan pavanes, galliards, basse danses, and are such an obvious choice that one would not normally All this concerns not merely the songs but above all the incidental music. Except in plays like Othello and King Lear,

Pieces by William Byrde and others from the Fitzwilliam Virginal Book,

Such as are to be found in Pierre d'Atteignant's carly XVIth century collection of dances. for example.



growing interest in such music today, no doubt far more than one so rarely has the pleasure of being overwhelmed in the there has been for the last three centuries. How is it, then, that fully with the plays, deepening them and being deepened by expect to have anything else. Besides, there is a great and theatre by these sounds and sweer airs which blend so wonderthem, inspiring the actors to excel themselves, and making the audience doubly responsive?

Needless to say the music must not only harmonize with the text but it must also be great, that is, intensely moving, since otherwise it will not fulfil its function. Consequently, even for those plays which are set in a pre-mediaeval period, the sort of accompaniment that Shakespeare himself would have had is no doubt the best, for who today can produce truly moving music in the style of ancient Egypt, Greece, Rome or Britain? In such cases the justification for the music will be that it belongs to the period of the play's poetry rather than to that of its theme. None the less, there is a wide variety to choose from, and this calls for a judicious choice. For example, mediaeval Spanish music which betrays Moorish influence would clearly be more suitable for Antony and Cleopatra" than for King Lear.

theatrical directors, who writes: 'I think that most people would prefer to have the music of Shakespeare's time. . . . What happens is that when one asks someone to arrange the music, he begs so hard to be allowed to compose it that the director usually takes the line of least resistance, and 7 A part answer to this question was given by another of our leading gives way". Whatever the cause, it has now become part of the Stratford routine to have a composer for each play just as one has a director and a designer; and when the Old Vic did the complete cycle of Shakespeare's composed for the production. One of these two was the already referred to Twelfth Night, for which music such as Shakespeare himself might have plays, only for two out of the whole thirty-six was the music not specially by Gordon Jacobs. The other was A Midsummer Night's Dream, with Mendelsohn's setting, which is extremely effective in its own way, but which them a certain haze of unreality. Mendelsohn has indeed his rights. But his had in his head when he wrote the play was admirably chosen and arranged definitely reduces the dimensions of Shakespeare's immortals and easts over music should be used on the clear understanding that the evening will be his rather than the poet's.

extant great music would be Arabian or Persian, or even Indian provided that it was not of a kind that conjures up too specifically the Hindu For this play also Elizabethan music would be adequate, in virtue of the art form itself. In virtue of the theme, perhaps the nearest approach in still

NOTES ON PERFORMANCE AND PRODUCTION

considering the different elements which go to make the impact of Shakespeare's plays upon the audience, this particular element, so persistently neglected today as regards both quality and Why so much about music, the reader may ask. Because in quantity, is of an importance which can scarcely be overestimated.

Did feign that Orpheus drew trees, stones and stoods (The Merchant of Venice, v, 1). But music for the time doth change his nature. Since naught so stockish hard and full of rage Therefore the poet

allowed to offer this bribe to those who seek originality above all-to have a production of Shakespeare in which all the different accessories were of the highest quality in themselves such a pitch that it would be quite original-if we may be while being at the same time in harmony with the text and with But to conclude on a more general note, things have sunk to each other!

CHAPTER XIV

The Audience

THE Western world has been for so long under the spell of humanism, which Edmund personifies in an extreme form, that in some ways we understand the bastard better than we do his legitimate elder brother Edgar who personifies an outlook which is now very far away. When we are faced with a typical mediaeval reaction we are sometimes rather at a loss. In King Lear the Duke of Albany, hearing that Cornwall has died of a wound he received from a servant when he was putting out Gloster's eyes, says:

This shows you are above, You justicers, that these our nether crimes So speedily can venge! (IV, 2); and at the end, when he hears of the death of Goneril and Regan, he says:

This judgment of the heavens, that makes us tremble, Touches us not with pity.

A few moments previously Edgar, referring to his father's sin of adultery, has said to Edmund:

The gods are just, and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us: The dark and vicious place where thee he got Cost him his eyes.

Edmund, whose outlook has been modified by the imminence of death, replies:

Thou hast spoken right, 'tis true; The wheel is come full circle; I am here. At a cursory reading of the play these speeches are almost embarrassing to some of us. Our reaction is spontaneously

suspect an attempt to justify the ways of God to man. In other words we attribute to Albany and to Edgar something of a modern psychology, a sort of primitive rationalism, cruder and less fully developed than our own. We fail to realize how little nave forgotten for the moment the crying injustice of Cordelia's answer to both questions is certainly 'no'. Our mediaeval ancestors and prosperous wickedness, for they knew that any apparent injustices in this world would be made good in the next. The remarks of Albany and Edgar that I have quoted are simply spontaneous comments on events, equivalent to some ejaculation such as 'Laus Deol' If they jar on us it is because we wrongly store was set in the Middle Ages, despite all their dialectic, by reiterated assertions that the gods are just. Can Shakespeare leath which is just about to become known? Or is he simply naking Edgar and Albany express a rather primitive and unntelligent point of view which he does not hold himself? The lid not believe in chance. When a worldly event seemed just, they immediately recognized the workings of Providence. But their faith remained quite unrullled in the face of triumphant rationalistic. We ask ourselves what is the meaning of these logical proof.

God to man. That is, beyond doubt, the essence of his purpose in writing. But his justification is on an intellectual plane, where alone it is possible; and this brings us back to the theme of his Paradise Lost cannot be called an intellectual poem. Milton portrays the next world by sheer force of human imagination. His God the Father, like Michelangelo's, is fabricated in the image of man; and the purely logical arguments which he puts into the mouth of God to justify His ways inevitably fail to convince us. Now Shakespeare also seeks to justify the ways of plays, for the intellect is none other than the lost faculty of Shakespeare, unlike Milton, has no illusions about the scope of reason. He knew that since reason is limited to this world it is powerless to 'justify the ways of God'. Milton may have known this in theory, but in practice he was very much a son of the Renaissance, very deeply under the spell of humanism. vision which is symbolized by the Holy Grail and by the Elixir In considering how Shakespeare conveys his message to us we must remember that the true function of art is not didactic. A

great drama or epic may contain little or much teaching of a didactic kind, but it does not rely on that teaching in order to gain its ultimate effect. Its function is not so much to define spiritual wisdom as to give us a taste of that wisdom, each according to his capacity.

The first spectators of Shakespeare were probably more receptive than we are. We tend to take art less scriously than they did. For modern man the supreme distinction is between and 'reality' on the other. Now naturally our mediaeval ancestors They were not in the habit of speaking and thinking of life as shorion' and 'truth', as we say, between art on the one hand made the same distinction, but for them it was not so sharp. 'truth'. By truth, by reality, they meant something different: for them the supreme distinction was not between life and art, but between the next world, that is, Truth, and this world which is the shadow of Truth. The sharpness of that distinction took the edge off all other distinctions. Moreover, art for them was not merely a copy of life, that is, it was not merely the shadow of a shadow; it was also, by inspiration, partly-and in some supreme cases even almost wholly—a direct copy or shadow of the 'substance' itself. The distinction between art and life is therefore not so much between a shadow and a reality as between two shadows. This sounds exaggerated, and no doubt the divergence in outlook between then and now was far slighter for the vast majority than might appear from what has just been said. But it went certainly further than a mere verbal quibble over the meaning of the word 'reality', and it would have been enough to make an appreciable difference in the attitude of an audience to a play. By attributing a less absolute reality to life they attributed more reality to art. They no doubt entered into it more whole-heartedly. But the difference is relative. We also can enter in. Let us consider what actually happens.

In life we have no view of the whole: we see only bits and pieces here and there, and our view is quite distorted. What is near to us we look at with feverish subjectivity; what is not near we look at with more or less cold objectivity. Above all we fail to see the pattern. It is as if life were a great piece of tapestry and as if we looked at it from the wrong side, where the pattern is obscured by a maze of threads, most of which seem to have no purpose. Now a play of Shakespeare's is like a

as we are on the wrong side of the great tapestry of life. To That is true; but with most drama what is the benefit to be universe, and when the hero represents a great soul which is being purified of all its faults, and being developed towards the limits of human possibility, then it is no light thing to be drawn into the web of the tapestry and to become identified with its central figure. But that is not all: the purification of the hero is in view of an end. By the close of the play we have become objective once more, but with a higher objectivity which is completely different from the initial one; for Shakespeare has but also, by inspiration, partly copied from the original of the other. Its smallness is to a certain extent made up for by its extreme intensity. Shakespeare holds out this smaller piece of tapestry to us in the theatre, between ourselves and him. He is begin with we look at the rather chaotic mass of threads with the same cold objectivity with which we view the threads of our neighbours' lives. But little by little as the play goes on we its threads. Our cold objectivity vanishes and we feel the warmth of subjectivity. So it is with any dramatic piece, one may say. gained? It is simply a question of exchanging one's ordinary subjectivity for another one which is no better and which may be worse. But when a drama is created as an image of the whole drawn us right through the tapestry and out at the other side, so that we now see it as it really is, a unity in which all the parts fit marvellously together to make up a perfect whole. Having been given something of the hero's purification we are nuch smaller piece of tapestry, partly copied from the other on the right side of it and we are again on the wrong side, just are drawn into it and become more and more bound up with now given a hint of the spiritual wisdom to which it leads; and just as Shakespeare's small tapestry merges mysteriously with the great tapestry of life, so our view of the harmony and beauty of the one is also, in a sense, a view of the harmony and beauty of the other. It is only a momentary glimpse, and it does not last. But it none the less makes an imprint upon the soul, which may not be easily effaced.

This higher objectivity is directly mentioned by King Lear at the beginning of the last scene of the play. He is now almost at the end of the quest, and he imagines what it would mean to be altogether united with Cordelia who, according to the

INDEX

Hamlet 15 ff., 19 ft., 27 ff., 46, 58, Ni 68. 7z, 88, 93 f., 98, 100, 115 O
Hamlet (the King) see Ghost
Herry IV 13, 18, 21 ff., 28 f., 36, O
Herry V 21 ff., 28, 36, 40
Herry V 21 ff., 28, 36, 40
Herry V 21 ff., 28, 36 f., 40
Herritone 104 ff., 109, 111, 113
Horatio 16, 30 f., 37, 39 ff., 46, Ot

lachimo 97, 102 lago 18, 43 ff., 48, 69, 97, 105 f. lmogen 94 f., 96 ff., 104, 112 lris 96, 111 leabella 49 f., 53 ff., 92

Juliet 45, 77, 79 ff.
Juliet (in Measure for Measure)
57
Juno 79, 95 f., 110
Jupiter 96, 100 f.

King Lear 5, 13, 15, 17, 19 f., 31, 37, 45, 61 f., 64 ff., 81, 121 f.

Latharina 53

Kent 62, 64, 70, 73, 101

Lady Macbeth 58 f.

Laertes 15, 40, 88

Lear 5, 17, 19, 31, 37, 62, 64 ff., 87, 127 f.
Leonatus (see Posthumus)
Leontes 19 f., 32, 104 ff., 113
Lodovico 43
Lorenzo 121
Lucius 98, 101

Rosencrantz 33 f., 39

Macbeth 18, 58 ff., 68, 72, 94, 129
Macbeth 32, 58 ff.
Macduff 72
Maccetas 85
Manillius 166 ff.
Marcellus 96 ff.
Mariana 51, 53 ff., 105
Mesure for Measure 32, 46, 48 ff., 166
Ch. 65, 94, 110 ff.

Montagu 79
Oberon 49
Octavia 85
Olivia 116 ff.
Ophelia 31 f., 37 f., 88
Orsino 117, 121
Otherlo 18, 42 ff., 47 f., 50 f., 61, 64, 66, 77, 79, 81 f., 89, 94, 97, 105, f., 121, 128 f.
Otherlo 18, 43 ff., 51, 72, 77, 80 ff., 88 f., 97, 104 ff.

Paulina 106, 108

Perdia 95 f., 107 ff., 113

Perucho 53

Philo 83

Pisanio 101

Polykenes 104, 106

Porter (in Macbell) 68

Posthumus Leonatus 95, 97 ff., 104

Prospero 49, 72, 111 ff., 130

Qucen (in Cymbeline) 95, 97, 99, 102

Regan 65 ff., 73, 75 ff. Richard 11 36 Romeo 45, 77, 79, 121 Romeo and Juliet 13, 77 ff., 81 f., 129 Rosalind 110 Scarus 87 Schastian 117 f. Shepherd (in *The Winter's Tale*) 107 Soothsayer (in *Cymbeline*) 103

The Taming of the Shrew 53
The Tempest 14, 49, 71 f., 79, 94, 110 ff., 129 f.
Timon of Athens 50, 60, 129
Toby Belch 117
Troiles and Cressida 50, 129
Twelfth Night 13, 116 ff., 122.

Viola 116 ff.

4 Midsummer Night's Dream 13.

Miranda 79 f., 95 f., 111, 113

49, 110, 122, 129

The Winter's Tale 19, 32, 94 f., 104 fl., 113 f., 129



GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD

London: 40 Museum Street, W.C.I
duckland: P.O. Box 36013, Northcole Central, Auckland N.4
Bombay: 15 Graham Road, Ballard Estate, Bombay I
Barbados: P.O. Box 222, Bridgetown
Buenos Aires: Escritorio 454-459, Florida 165
Calcutta: 17 Chittaranjan Avenue, Calcutta 13
Cape Town: 68 Shortmarket Street
Hong Kong: 44 Mody Road, Kowloon
Ibadan: P.O. Box 62

Karachi: Karachi Chambers, McLeod Road Madras: Mohan Mansions, 38c Mount Road, Madras 6 Mexico: Fillalongin 32-10, Piso, Mexico 5, DF Nairobi: P.O. Box 4536

New Delhi: 13-14 Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi Ontario: 81 Curlew Drive, Don Mills São Paulo: Caixa Postal 8675 Sydney, N.S.W.: Bradbury House, 55 York Street Tokyo: 10 Kanda-Ogawamachi, 3-Chome, Chiyoda-Ku

Singapore: 36c Prinsep Street, Singapore 7